

27  
7 30

ever, while stating that the rebellion, in spite of its petty-bourgeois leadership, had a clear anti-imperialist character, that the agricultural workers and unemployed took an active part in it, at the same time you do not give any concrete indications of the immediate tasks of Communists in Honduras, on their participation in this movement, on linking up this movement with the struggle for partial demands, on linking up the movement with the anti-imperialist struggle of the workers and the peasants of Nicaragua, or finally on using the movement to form revolutionary TU's and Party nuclei, local committees of the CP, etc. in the banana plantation zone.

b) In the same article you state that "comrades must see that the leading role in the struggle belongs to the TOILING CLASS and the Communists". Your paper -- the organ of the Caribbean Bureau of the CI -- must only use clear Marxist-Leninist terminology. However, there is no such term as "the toiling class" in Marxist-Leninism. This term is indefinite, has been used and is even now used by some of our CP's of Latin-American countries, and should be energetically combatted.

2. Nicaragua.

a) You write that "in reality there is no TU organisation in Nicaragua". However, the Latin-American Section of the RILU during the V Congress received a letter showing that the Nicaraguan TU's were leaving the Pan-American Federation of Labour and joining the Latin-American Confederation. Your statement in the press that there are no organised trade unions in Nicaragua may be used by the reformists in their struggle against the campaign of the Latin-American Confederation for winning over the Nicaraguan TU's and getting them out of the Pan-American Federation of Labour.

With Communist greetings,

THE LATIN-AMERICAN SECRETARIAT OF THE ECCI