

25
28

J

is really revolutionary, on the other hand, and also between the leaders of the Nationalist Party (Menocal, etc.) and the rank and file members. As a result there is not to be found in your article the perspective, which was later confirmed, of the divergence between the actions of the leaders of the Nationalist Party who concluded a "peace" with Machado through the mediation of Guggenheim (and obviously under his pressure) and the actions of some of the provincial leaders and rank and file members of the Party who are dissatisfied with the policy of Menocal and the other leaders and are fighting against Machado (revolt in the Eastern Province of Cuba).

The same may be said of your estimate of the students. By calling all students the "revolutionary nationalist youth", you do not make any distinction between ~~that part of the~~ ^{those} students who are really fighting against Machado and against the treacherous leaders of the Nationalist Party, and who are united in the "left wing", and the bourgeois students and sons of landlords who consciously carry out in practice the directives of the leaders of the Nationalist Party, not being "tools in the hands of the bourgeois opposition" as you write.

It is still more mistaken to state without differentiating between the economic interests of various groups in the Nationalist Party, that the nationalists as a whole were "really simple tools in the hands of the bourgeois opposition". This contradicts your own statement that the nationalist leaders are themselves the bourgeois opposition.

c) While you correctly emphasise the chief danger as the Right tendency in the CP of Cuba, which expresses itself in passivity,