

Starting with this point of view, it says further, that the Parties should "fight" against the bourgeois-landlord parties and only "criticise" the petty-bourgeois leaders.

Wherein is the error of this formulation?

1. In the first place, this tends to sanction the influence of the petty bourgeoisie within the Communist Party during the period of the Bourgeois Democratic revolution. This affirmation is fundamentally in contradiction with all the implacable leninist struggle for the organizational, ideological and political independence of the Communist Parties, as the parties of the Proletariat. Even though in all of the directives previously given by the Buro, there was always underlined and the Parties always called upon to fight for the independent leadership of the Communist Parties, the above mentioned formulation, may tend, as we have said before, to sanction the petty bourgeois influence in the Parties, and this is a great danger for our Parties, especially at present. The VI Congress of the Communist International has indicated for the Communists of South America and the Caribbean that "they must take active part in all times and in all places in the mass revolutionary movement directed against the landlord regime and against imperialism, even there where the movement is under the leadership of the bourgeoisie. The Communist Party, nevertheless, must not in any case, subject itself politically to its temporary allies. Without leaving aside the struggle for the hegemony during their revolutionary movement, the Communist Parties must, in the first place, fight for the organizational and political independence of them, having as their aim the conversion into the Party, leader of the of the Proletariat"

2. The bourgeois-democratic revolution which, in the present international situation, is possible in the colonies only against the will of the

"national" bourgeoisie (the ally of imperialism)