

participation would . . . force a better, more democratic and more durable peace' you would favor America's entry into the war. Now, it must be clear to you—at least it is clear to me—that a victorious Germany is not going to give her opponents such terms of peace as to insure a just, lasting and democratic peace. You may be justified in your confidence in Hindenburg as a warrior, but you surely cannot have any confidence in the Kaiser and his clique as democratic statesmen. No matter, therefore, how bad Allied statesmen may be, by trying to prevent a complete German victory, we are necessarily working to secure better terms of peace for the world."

And if Hillquit's new position—or his new "interpretation" of his old position—be correct, it follows that Bohn and Spargo not only had the *right*, but that it was their *duty*, to favor our entry into the war, even to the extent of voting for its declaration if they happened to be members of Congress.

And yet we have been saying some hard things about these men since St. Louis. Must we now apologize to them and invite them back into the party? Or is it time to begin saying things to some other people?  
B.

## Political Parties in Russia

By *Nicholas Lenine*

Reprinted from "THE CLASS STRUGGLE"

Price, 5 Cents

Special Rates to Party Locals and Agents

SOCIALIST PUBLICATION SOCIETY

119 Lafayette Street

NEW YORK CITY

## The Socialist Attitude on The War

A DEBATE

between

*Robert Rives La Monte* and *Louis C. Fraina*

Reprinted from "THE CLASS STRUGGLE"

Special Rates to Party Locals and Agents

SOCIALIST PUBLICATION SOCIETY

119 Lafayette Street

NEW YORK CITY