The Productivity of Russian Labor

From the Russian Soviet Government's First Annual Report

By A. Lomov

(Continued from lass week.)

TT seems, that in spite of the whole sum of ex-L tremely unfavorable objective economic conditions, the productivity of labor began to increase at the beginning of 1918 in a number of industries. And what is more, the increase of productivity is not a slightly noticeable process, but a very rapid, strikingly marked one. In the cast-steel industry the productivity went up in April, in comparison with January, 170%; in the cast-iron, 131%; in the hydraulic press industry 40%, and only in the car forging has it fallen 24%, and there on account of a number of causes of an external character. so to speak. January is the worst month. After it, there begins a rapid advance. These results have been the fruits of proletarian class self-reliance exclusively. Price-wages were firmly introduced by a special wage-fixing commission consisting of representatives of the professional unions and the technical personel; "this commission very energetically," as the spokesman said, "undertook us task." The results can already be seen; though as justly pointed out by the Kolomensky workers, its work only began.

Of the constant increase in the productivity of labor after the introduction of the scale, the representatives of the Kolubaksky factory also spoke, stating as characteristic of the mood of the workers in the factory, the following: "We recently had to make repairs which we thought would take two weeks, but the workers made them in six days, and that under the worst conditions."

Analogous are the data of other districts. In the Petrograd Westinghouse factory, after the transition to piece-wages and the establishment of a form of output according to scale, the results showed themselves remarkably soon. In the smelting industry the report shows the following figures for 1918:

In January there were produced3000	poods
In February3400	poods
In March3886	
In April4000	poods

i e., an increase in April as compared with January of 33%.

Even at the Baltic factory, where the wages are lower than in the factories of Moscow, Petrograd and a number of provinical cities, "in the month of April it was proven that the workers in the matter of productivity," as the spokesman, Comrade Khromoff, indicated at the conference, "have produced almost twice as much as in March, and we suppose that in the future, with a raise in wages up to the normal (the scale was not yet introduced in that factory, the productivity will rise even higher." Analagous information is given by the comrades from the evacuated factory " Phoenix" in Ribinek, which in December, 1917, had an output of 62 cars, in January of 40, in February of 18, and in March and April of 38 each respectively. The comrades note the great work done in this sphere by the factory committees. Similar information we have from the Hartmann factory in Lugansk, where the workers' committee has succeeded in turning out-instead of three locomotives as in the Fall—13 locomotives. The comrades, members of the committees of the much suffering Petrovsky Soviet factories and Makeefka (Mining and Metallurgical "Union"), draw the same pictures of their establishments. At the Petrovsky factory, after its nationalization and the

granting of the first sums for the payment of wages, the productivity of labor began to grow, and towards the end of April went up to 30-40%. In Makeefka, which was twice occupied by the Cossacks and Ukrainians, the daily output of coal from the mines went up, from March to the middle of April alone, from 60,000 poods to 92,000, or over 50%; and what is more, as the chairman of the workers' committee of the "Union," Engineer Bajanov wrote, "There is to be observed a tendency towards a further increase in the output of coal."

The same conclusions are also characteristic of the Ural district.

We have more detailed information regarding the Zlatoustovsky district. Here is the corresponding table of figures in poods:

Pig-iron production: January Remade pig-iron343,962.32 Casting pig-iron37,854. Crude iron18,724.08	February 173,885. 21,277. 8,285,30	March 351,040.01 41,354. 17,125.39
Martenovsky production: Martens bars Pudling furnace production: Moulds 37,401.	22,460. 19,180.	147,134. 29,095.
Casting production: Pig-iron castings: High furnace production	332.29 11,740.16 332.33	26,147.13 1,726.29
Various alloyage paste 2,085. Rolling production: Large sized production, Martens iron, pressed iron,	85.25 960.	331.38 1,207.
Martens steel, Crucible steel 61,947. Middle-sort production: Martens square iron Martens steel	85,916.82	48,192.79
Crucible steel Tilted East steel production: Moulded, stamped 49,800.16 Projectile production: Production of	2 5,581.88	\$8,331.08

In surveying the present table compiled on the basis of the Soviet's reports, at the outset the extreme decrease in output during February strikes one's eye. However, this is explained by the transition from the old Russian calendar to the new

102,359.

2,889.

149,264.

7,460.

calendar, thanks to which the number of days in February shrunk to fifteen.*

various instruments

Also intrenching _____147,028.

Swords, daggers, etc. ___ 4,107.

in pieces:

ammunition:

The production of

Hand-made products:

Taking this into consideration we note that in the Zlatoustovsky district the decrease in production ceased, and now there is to be observed a reverse process of its growth in a number of industries, in the production of machines, instruments, pig-iron; there is also an increase in the casting-house production, etc.

The figures of the production of labor at the Ural Katav—Ivanovsky factory speak of the same:

January	The casting of pig-iron 125,872	Average daily output 4,723
February (15 days)		4,794
March	144,833	4,761
April	145,454	5,008

The total amount of casted pig-iron, and its average daily output has considerably increased. It is interesting to note the correct growth of figures each month. It is also interesting to compare the figures of the productivity of labor of a factory in the three preceding years with the figures of the three and a half months of 1918.

*Translator's note...The Russian calendar differed from the one prevailing in the west in being thirteen days beTHE PRODUCTION OF A FACTORY

,	Pig-iron	Вата	Fire- stone	Moulding of steel	Moulding of pig-iron
	Poods	Poods	Pieces		
1915	1,938,682	182,000	167,434	,	21,475
1916	2,011,222	606,403	333,025	2,325	35,217
1917	1,868,041				
4 months of 1918_		196,213			
(Feb. 15 days)					,

This proves that if the quantity of moulded pig-iron has decreased in comparison with the best year, 1916, still for the first three and a half months of 1918, about 1/4 of the pig-iron output for the whole year 1916 was moulded. As regards bars, founded pig-iron and the production of fire-stones, for the 3½ months of 1918, the output was about 1/3 of the entire production of 1916. As regards founded steel, for the first 31/2 months of 1918, the total amount of mouldings in poods exceeded by 50% the amount of mouldings for the whole year 1916. Thus in the first quarter of 1918 there was clearly visible a process of gradual increase in the productivity of labor. Furthermore, in spite of the acute insufficiency of the food supply, the productivity of labor evidently continued to increase. For example, we shall submit the following data of the productivity of labor in a number of important Petrograd factories; the following table of figures was issued by the Obuchovsky steel mills:

THE OBUCHOVSKY STEEL CASTING FACTORY

Mar. from the 15th	Apr.	May	from	July from the 15th	Aug.
Cars 70	175 1	400	270 1	170	212 1
Pig-iron castings1,107 Steel and iron_1,946	975	627 6,173	304 7,182	8.300	48 17.823

The Westinghouse factory output of brakes:

Mar. Apr. May June July Aug.
Brake devices

Speaking of the increase in the productivity of labor we none the less, cannot yet dream of a production equal to that of peace times. The lack of raw materials and fuel, the acute hunger, the deterioration of the machinery could not but delay the process of the rehabilitation of industry. And nevertheless, at this writing, several factories are either approaching or have already attained the peace-time norm. To the number of such factories belongs, for instance, the famous nationalised Simons—Schuckert factory. Let us examine in somewhat greater detail the work of this factory as a characteristic example of the rehabilitation of our whole industry.

The February revolution and Kerenskyism found it in a state of slow disintegration. Up to the time of the October revolution, its production fell to about one-third or one-fourth of what it was before, and the intensity of labor "decreased almost one-half" according to the information of the department of Electro-Technique.

[To be continued]