The "LABOR WITH A WHITE SKIN CANNOT EMANCIPATE ITSELF WHERE LABOR WITH A BLACK SKIN IS BRANDED" — KARL

## Negro Question ■By J. R. JOHNSON

On the ground that their confessions were extorted by torture, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the petition of four young Negroes against sentence of death by the Supreme Court of Florida.

In May 1933 an elderly white man was murdered in Pompano, Florida. Immediately the murder was discovered, between 25 and 40 Negroes were arrested, among them the petitioners. From May 14 to May 20, the 25 to 40 Negro suspects were subjected to questioning and cross-questioning, resulting in the "confessions of the four accused." The evidence compels Justice Black to state that "the prisoners at no time during the week were permitted to see or confer with counsel or a single friend or relative. When carried singly from his cell and subjected to questioning, each found himself, a single prisoner, surrounded in a fourth floor jail room by four to ten men, the County Sheriff, his deputies, a convict guard, and other white officers and citizens of the community. As an ex-Ku Klux Klanner and a Southerner. Black understood well the significance of the fourth floor. A Negro knows that at any time in these proceedings he is liable to be thrown out of the window, after which his questioners would unitedly swear that he had jumped.

The necessary confessions were obtained. Justice Black quotes, "They bring one of them at a time backwards and forwards until they confessed." They were tried and sentenced on no other evidence than these confessions.

Here is a tale, one of ten thousand, of the organized terror against Negroes, by citizens, Ku Klux Klanners, lynch mobs, judges, sheriffs, policemen, state governors, and Federal authorities, by which the millions of Negroes are kept in economic and political subjection for the greater glory of capitalist profits and the maintenance of American "democracy." Every year there are hundreds of similar cases, most of which do notreach the Supreme Court at all.

### Justice Black Prepares For War

Today, however, there is a war in Europe, in which the American capitalists are planning to intervene. British and German imperialism are at each other's throats for the redivision of the world, and American capitalism seeks to become the dominant world power. The American people must be mobilized for war, and such is the bankruptcy of the capitalist system, that in its mad pursuit of imperialist aims, it has to fall back on the tattered, torn, and blood-stained slogan of the "defense of democracy."

The Supreme Court therefore takes this case as a platform from which to pontificate on the evils of totalitarianism and the benefits of "democracy," as exemplified in the Supreme Court of the United States. Justice Black has the impudence to make more than one reference to the Fourteenth Amendment, which was thrown into the waste-paper basket by many Southern states the day after it was passed and has remained there since. He speaks of "liberty-loving people," pictures the Supreme Court as defender of the rights of "the poor, the ignorant, the numerically weak, the friendless and the powerless," and contrasts the American way with the "rack, the thumbscrew, the wheel, solitary confinement." and the exalted power of "some governments to punish manufactured crime dictatorially." He concludes on a high note: "Under our constitutional system, courts stand against any winds that blow as havens of refuge. . . ."

### The Negro People Know Better!

Some of the Scottsboro boys are still in jail. Every year Negroes are lynched, not only those that are listed in the press but the quiet, well-organized doing-away with Negroes who in one way or another threaten "white supremacy." In state after state Negroes cannot vote. They are deprived of their social and political rights by brute force. The decisions of all courts in their favor are ignored or flouted. They are constantly insulted, beaten up, terrorized. Their groans and protests, sometimes subdued, now flaring up as the persecution becomes intolerable, are the permanent accompaniment of American life. And yet this ex-member of the Klan, with his eyes on his script and his mind on imperialist war, reads his piece about American courts being a haven of refuge against winds that blow.

The press has taken its cue and has repeated in swelling chorus the theme of the distinguished soloist. "We are not as they." And if "we," whose courts are a haven against all the winds that blow, are not as "they," the dictatorships, shouldn't we be ready to die for these privileges? The fifteen million cheated Negroes, the millions of unemployed and ther starving families, will know how to treat this impudent piece of war propaganda, this blatant self-justification, this stupid attempt to throw dust into the eyes of the Negro

Justice Black has lifted the case of these unfortunate Negroes (for if there was any evidence against them it would have been heard) from its place as one of a never-ending list of tyranny and injustice into a plea for the support of American and its imperialistic adventures aboard. But the tyranny and violence of three hundred years are not pushed aside so easily. They will be pushed aside not by rosy-hued lies from a war-monger's loud-speaker, but by the united voice of millions of workers, black and white, shouting the slogans of socialism. They will be destroyed only when the system which breeds them is destroyed. Our aim is the destruction not only of the naked dictatorships abroad but of the thinly veiled dictatorships at home.

### Men Wanted—in the Army The one employer that still has plenty of jobs

is the U.S. Army. Uncensored for Jan. 27 gives this from a list of recruiting hints sent to Army cided with the peace aims of the dangers involved not only for the letariat in the advanced industrial

"4. Some of the sources for young men are: those unemployed; on relief; ex-enlisted men. . . and young men of military and adventurous disposition." One special recruiting appeal the Army now makes to unemployed youth is the chance to learn a trade.

How doth the little crocodile Improve his shining tail, And pour the waters of the Nile On every golden scale!

How cheerfully he seems to grin, How neatly spreads his claws, With gently smiling jaws!

ON THE WAR IN FINLAND

# Why We Should Defend the Soviet Union With Lovestone

By ALBERT GOLDMAN

clusion that to defend the Soviet desend it.

ent, alas, is not so simple. The gether. days of Lenin and Trotsky.

against the Stalinist bureaucracy. workers' state.

erything that Stalin does. Revolu- would have done it. The Soviet ists would result in the destruct he boss.

It would indeed be fortunate if, involved and decide what they are against the Stalinist bureaucracy. the Fourth International followed sider that the main enemy is at once having arrived at the con- defending and how they should The Russian workers have two a tactic with reference to the home and carry on the struggle

defend the Soviet Union is indic- the Soviet workers, his policy of clously. ative of the tremendous change forced collectivization, his foreign THE MAIN ENEMY IS that has taken place since the policy based on alliances with WORLD IMPERIALISM!

| tiouary Marxists, however. must | Union must be defended not only | tion of the Soviet Union. carefully analyze all the factors against the imperialists but also During the Civil War in Spain imperialist country at war con-

Union is the duty of every work- WHY WE IRRECONCILEABLY out, the bureaucracy within. Both workers should follow with refer- less of the effect on the front. er, we could simply proceed to STRI GGLE AGAINST STALIN endanger the existence of the ence to Stalinism. The advanced Not so in the case of the Soviet say to the Russian, the Finnish Cur struggle against Stalin has state which the Russian workers Spanish workers had the double Union, a workers' state, based on and the workers of all other been motivated fundamentally on created by superhuman sacrifice. task of fighting against Franco nationalized property. There they countries: do your utmost to see the ground that his ideas and pol. The cuestion arises: does the de- and, at the same time, trying to must at all costs prevent a victo it that the Red Army is vic- icies weaken the Soviet Union fense of the Soviet Union require mobilize the workers for the ov- tory of the imperialist armies. torious and to make Finland part and consequently the world reva- that during a war against imperi- erthrow of the Loyalist governof the territory of the Soviet Un- | 1 11 jon. The proposition can like- alism the struggle against the ment. We stated that unless the A REVOLUTIONARY POLICY wise be put the other way around. bureaucracy should cease? And Spanish workers succeeded in FOR THE FINNISH WORKERS As simple as all that would be Stain's ideas and policies weaken the answer is a decisive "No!" kicking out the Stalinist-backed our task if Lenin and Trotsky the world revolution and conse- If the Russian workers see 5 Negrin, the fascists would be vicwere still at the helm in the So- quently the Soviet Union. The chance to overthrow the Stalinist torious. We proved correct, but have always insisted that in any viet Union. The problem, at pres- two are inextricably bound to- regime they should do so even at the time of struggle against war against the Soviet Union the when the Soviet Union is involved the fascists it would have been primary task of the workers outmere fact that we have to discuss We fought against his destruc- in a war. The Soviet Union will criminal for us to urge the work- side of the Soviet Union is: the

capitalist powers; we fought ag- But it must be clearly under-The degeneration of the work- ainst his theory of socialism stood at all times that the strug-

the question of whether or not to tion of the democratic rights of thereby be strengthened tremen- ers not to fight the fascists be- proletarian revolution, the best

ers' state under the Stalinist re- in one country and against his gle against the bureaucracy Revolutionary workers in a ungime makes the task of defend- theory of destroying fascism by should be subordinated to the ion controlled by reactionaries Finnish workers does not differ ing the Soviet Union far more fighting for bourgeois democra- struggle against the imperialist understand very well that during in any way from the task concomplicated. At the same time cy; we fought against all of these enemy. The main enemy is im- a strike the reactionary leader- fronting the Polish workers in that we are engaged in defending because they weakened the Soviet perialism and during a war be- ship does not and cannot conduct 1920 when the Red Army under it against the capitalist army, in Union. These Stalinist policies tween the Soviet Union and im- the most effective struggle Lenin and Trotsky invaded Poorder to defend it more effective- disoriented and confused the perialism it is absolutely impera- against the bosses and they land. But only to that extent, In ly in the struggle against imperi- workers within and without the tive for the workers to prevent would not hesitate to oust the 1920 the Polish workers did not alism it is necessary to struggle Soviet Union, thus weakening the a victory of imperialism. It would reactionary leadership even dur- have to fear the Soviet regime; be a tremendous victory for the ing a strike. But they also under- it was their regime as well as They who look for a simple so- If there was anything further workers if they should succeed stand that during a strike the that of the Russian workers; they lution can find it either in de- needed to convince us that Stal- in overthrowing the Stalinist bu- main enemy is the boss and they could fight not only for the revfending bourgeois Finland or in inism must be overthrown in or- reaucracy but it would constitute concentrate their efforts to win olution in Poland but also for the being indifferent to the victory of der to increase the strength of a disastrous defeat if the imperi- the strike against the boss. In joining of Poland to the Soviet either side. The Stalinists also the Soviet Union so that it could alists should destroy the bureau- other words, they subordinate the Union. They did not have to make have a simple solution: defend be more adequately defended, cracy, because the destruction of struggle against the reactionary a distinction between the Soviet the Soviet Union by defending ev- Stalin's adventure in Finland the bureaucracy by the imperial- leadership to the struggle against Union and the Lenin-Trotsky re-

Revolutionary workers in an enemies, the imperialists with. Loyalist forces that the Russian against the ruling class regard-

Revolutionary internationalists cause it was also necessary to method of defending the Soviet overthrow the Loyalist govern- Union. And the Finnish workers ment. At that particular time the have that task now as they had main task was to destroy fasc- before the invasion by the Red

To that extent the task of the

But in 1940, when it is Stalin's Red Army that is invading Finland and not the army of Lenin and Trotsky, the advanced workers of Finland find their task far more complicated and difficult than that of the Polish workers in 1920. They are confronted by the possibility of being swallowed up by the Stalinist regime and well to remind those workers who thusiasm to that possibility. It is have allowed themselves to be only a lesser evil in comparison drawn into the anti-Soviet camp with the evil of permitting the

gle between the army of a Soviet

But while the politically ad-Soviet economy represents a his- fore the eyes of the Finnish worka single country," at the expense cable victory of Socialism has fiscated from them by the Octo- torical advance upon capitalist- ers, they dare not be indifferent to the actual struggle that is going on between the Red Army An interventionist war would and the Finnish capitalist army venture would split the Labor my and continue the struggle for an independent Soviet Finland

But even before actual military against the Stalinist bureaucracy. Let us make no mistake about intervention takes place, Stalin Those who have been thrown of the Red Army at the hands of the Finnish capitalist army.

Three more lectures will be

The instructors are J. G. WRIGHT and GEORGE NOV-

> 50c for the Series 25c for Single Lectures

# On Finland

By FELIX MORROW

In the January 27 issue of the Socialist Appeal we commented editorially on a speech by a Czarist officer advocating aid to Finland. He had said. in calling upon Czarist Russians to fight against the Soviet Union:

"For twenty-two years we have been waiting for this moment. Now there is a place where we can go back from."

And our comment was:

"There in two sentences is the whole story of all the tear-jerking money-raising committees to aid 'poor little Finland' against 'Russian imperialism,' from Hoover down to the wretched Lovestoneites and Thomasites."

In previous issues we had devoted a good many thousands of words to a painstaking analysis of the pro-Finland line of Norman Thomas' Socialist Party and of the Lovestoneites. Both these groups have carefully refrained from answering our case against them.

At long last, however, the Lovestone Workers Age of February 17 replies. Its reply consists of a purported analysis of the editorial quoted above. It charges us with "the construction of an amalgam of labor and radical groups with the Russian monarchists, similar to the cheap and vicious type of argumentation used for the last fourteen years by Stalin against the Trotskyites."

Is it? All that the editorial and all our articles on Finland, say is: those who support Finland against the Soviet Union are supporting an outpost of imperialism against a workers' state. Defeat of the Red Army on the Finnish front would be followed by an imperialist invasion to destroy the workers' state and restore capitalism.

## They Argue Like Social Democrats

The Workers Age is not yet quite as brazen as the New Leader. But, at the risk of being accused of another amalgam, we must say that its case for supporting Finland against the Soviet Union is based on the same fundamental assumptions as that of the New Leader. The latter declares it a war between democracy and dictatorship, points as proof to the house-broken social democratic deputies, and minimizes the role of Mannerheim. And what does the Workers Age do? We quote:

"Both the Daily Worker and Socialist Appeal . . raise the menace of the White Guardist Mannerheim to gigantic and grotesque proportions, with the same intent in view, to prove that Finland is a White Guard outpost for the destruction of the USSR. All those who dare to raise their voices for the defense of Finnish independence can then be dubbed as 'agents of Baron Mannerheim.' Great care must be exercised to conceal the fact that out of 200 members of the Finnish parliament, the largest group, 85, are socialists, and that 143 represent workers or peasants parties. Otherwise, the cry of a White Guard Mannerheim regime,' would fall to the ground, and without a Mannerheim bugaboo, the amalgam

In passing, the Workers Age makes a little amalgam between the Socialist Appeal and the Daily Worker. We have pointed out the composition of the Finnish parliament; we have not called the bourgeois republic a White Guard regime. But all that is unimportant. What is most significant in the Workers Age article is that this paper, of a group which once called itself followers of Lenin, has degenerated to the point where (1) it does not recognize that Mannerheim, commander-inchief of the armed forces of the Finnish bourgeoisie, represents the class in power; (2) it can adduce as a decisive counter-weight to Mannerheim the fact that impotent and cowardly representatives of reformist parties sit in the bourgeois parliament; (3) it can deny that any bourgeois country on the frontiers of the Soviet Union must serve as a base for imperialist intervention. By the by, as it were, the Workers Age throws overboard the Marxist theory of the state.

### They Once Called Themselves Leninists!

Now listen to this, from people who once called themselves adherents of Lenin's theory of the progressive role of colonial wars against imperial-

"Nor is it ever explained (by the Trotskyists) why if Mannerheim's participation in the Finnish defense . . . deprives the Finns of our sympathy, the same is not true for China and Chiang Kaishek. . . . Because Chiang Kai-shek is head of the Chinese Nationalist government, are we therefore to bless the Japanese invader and deny the Chinese masses our sympathy and support?"

The only logic by which this extraordinary paragraph would make sense would be by the argument that in all wars we oppose the "invader." But we would defend China against Japan if China were the initiator of hostilities. We, as followers of Marx and Lenin, don't choose sides on the legalistic argument of who crossed whose frontier line first, but we choose sides by a class eriterion: the workers against the bosses, workers' state against capitalist state, oppressed colonial people against imperialist. Yes, one must defend these elementary Marxist propositions today against the Lovestoneite ex-Leninists.

In the characteristic style of all the social democratic war mongers these days, the Lovestoneites conclude with a denunciation of our belief that "the end justifies the means." Yes, we recognize no separation between ends and means. Neither does any naturalistic ethics which has broken with supernaturalism. John Dewey's "crime" to religionists is precisely that he establishes that means have no meaning apart from ends. But the Lovestoneites will scarcely trouble to find out what the concept really means. It sounds like an epithet, thanks to religious propaganda, and so they hurl it at us. Who hurls it? The people who defended Stalin's frameup trials against Zinoviev, Kamenev, Radek and Piatakov!

# HANDS OFF THE SOVIET UNION!

A NEGRO LEADER SPEAKS OUT AGAINST THE SOCIAL PATRIOTS

abridged form from the Februdiscussion organ. George Padmore, formerly Chairman of the Negro Trade Union Committee of the Red International of Labor Unions (Profintern) and for many years prominently identified with the Communist International, is now active in the International African Service

The Russo-Finnish conflict SPECIAL DUTY OF makes it imperative for the in- REVOLUTIONISTS TODAY towards the Soviet Union.

This is the logical outcome of tive. little Finland."

means of production.

### STALIN'S FOREIGN POLICY HELPS INTERVENTION

But this potential danger has other great nations. now become a reality, thanks to STALIN HAS TRADUCED Stalin's foreign policy, which has THE IDEALS OF SOCIALISM

ic difficulties, the more its lead- in this exploitation.

By GEORGE PADMORE (We reprint this article in | policy is being reaped by Stalin | Russian working class in blood in | perialist conflict between the Alary, 1940, issue of "Left", a Union stands most in need of the lost in 1917. sympathy and active support of FATE OF WORLD WORKERS the international working class INVOLVED IN THE USSR

tempting to build "Socialism in the Stalinists that "the irrevo-dolars, and francs-the swag conof the Revolution abroad. We re- been achieved in the Soviet Un- ber Revolution. gret the Soviet's attack upon Fin- ion," we nevertheless maintain Nor will these "Saviours of land and we must hold Staliu re- that Russia is fundamentally a Christianity and Civilization" ers and peasents under the hypo- abolished. This fact alone puts Hitler's Germany pale into insigcritical guise of defending "poor the Soviet Union in a fundamen- nificance. tally different category from cap-We must not underestimate italist-imperialist nations. This this danger, 19" already many common ownership in the means would give rise to such conditions a precautionary measure against Stalin in invading Finland will spokesmen of Western imperial- of production is the essential pre- of repression everywhere that it the threat itself, be forced to en- exclaim: what, you want the ism on both siles of the Atlantic requisite for the transition of so- might take decades before the ter into more active military col- Finnish workers to accept slavery come to liquidate the socialised ism-Socialism to Communismeconomy of the Seviet Union, and the fully classless society. It is as a corollary of this, re-estab- this economic difference and its lish private ownership in the social potentialities which claim

our support. Our attitude, however, does not blind us to many economic and social inequalities Intervention has always been and the absence of any really danger confronting the So- genuine proletarian democracy in viet Union and will continue to Russia. With all its limitations,

Unions finds itself vis-a-vis the us morally ashamed, for as so- vide Anglo-French-American iminternational working class. Hav- cialists we are justified in feeling perialisms with new markets, ading abandoned the policy of that the ethical conceptions and World Revolution and dependence ideals for which we are striving upon the support of the interna- have been traduced. We are put tional working class against the on the same standard as the the course a sixth part of this dilemma. We must, while remachinations of imperialist poli- bourgeoisie-the suppressors of ticians, Stalin was forced, willy- the rights of nations, the exter- stored to its former Tsarist stanilly, to seek new allies among minators of weaker peoples and tus-a semi-colony of Western the ruling sections of the imper- the instigators of war. But again capitalist - imperialism — and the ialist Powers whose foreign ori- we must not allow our subjective Appreciation of this fact is a also. The slimy, treacherous to her provious temperature posts bonds of solidarity and underprerequisite to an understanding bourgeoisie always watches eag- to her previous ignominious posiof the zigzag course of Soviet erly to exploit our class indignaforeign policy in recent years. tion in its own interests, and to ope." The more the Soviet Union got make us-the working class and

The bitter consequence of this give up their plans to drown the Returning to the present im- the workers alone.

today, precisely when the Soviet the attempt to regain what they lies and Germany, it would be can hardly look forward with enand the colonial peoples. Having Does anyone seriously believe out of disgust of the Communist imperialists, through the Finnish been let down, the Popular Front- that if the Chamberlains and Dal- party's unprincipled abuse of army, to defeat the Red Army ers-that heterogeneous amalga- adiers-not to speak of Mussolini their confidence, that they are de- and thus prepare for the destrucmation of middle-class political and the Pope-and their satellite, luding themselves if they think tion of the Soviet Union. philistines-are today the most Mannerheim, had their own way, that imperialist intervention in vituperative and slanderous in they would be satisfied merely Russia will bring down the Staltheir denunciations of Soviet ag- with the military defeat of Rus- inist bureaucracy. Such a war that best of all possible solutions: gression against "poor little Fin- sia and the liquidation of Stalin- would enable the bureaucrats to a Soviet Finland independent of ism? This Unholy Alliance of Ca- present the issue to the Russian the Stalinist regime. In a strugpitalism, and Jesuitism would masses as one of "self-defense" completely destroy the Russian against a united world capitalist Finland and the army of Stalin ternational working class move- In this befogged situation it is working-class movement — trade attempt to restore Tsarism and the army or Stalin we would do our utmost to—help ments in the capitalist countries difficult for most people to see unions and co-operatives. Worst capitalist property relations. The defeat Stalin's army; to re-evaluate their position clearly ahead. A special duty, disaster of all, they would com- opposition, along with the rest of therefore, falls upon Revolution- pletely liquidate the collective the peoples of the Soviet Union, THE RED ARMY'S VICTORY Today the potential danger of ary Socialists to clarify the prob- ownership of the means of proimperialist intervention against lem, for only by this means will duction and restore to the finan- defense of what remains of the the Soviet Union is greater than the masses be able to see the sit- cial manipulators of the City, October Revolution. For, as we at any other time since 1921. uation in its true class perspec- Wall Street, and the Bank of have already stated, so long as vanced workers of Finland should France, who can visualise civili- the ownership of land and the constantly keep the goal of an Stalin's fundamental error of at- While rejecting the claim of zation only in terms of sterling, means of production is socialized, independent Soviet Finland be-

sponsible for this major blow to workers' State. Space prevents a stop here. In every country—yes, Finland and would raise him in world imperialism. Understandthe prestige of international So- full examination of this subject, even in "democratic" Britain— the eyes of the Russian masses to ing what is at stake, the classcialism. Nevertheless, it is neces- but we rest the claim upon the the working class will be driven the status of a prophet, since it conscious Finnish workers must sary for the Brilish working class indisputable fact that private into an undreamed-of condition of would be his justification. Furumental unhesitatingly choose the lesser to be on guard against being ownership in the means of prowar against the Russian work- banks, factories, etc.—has been sweep over Europe as to make movement in every country.

force once more.

ditional sources of raw material and fresh fields for the investment of capital. The Soviet Unthe earth's surface, would be retion of the "Gendarme of Eur-

Western capitalists will never RALLY TO SOVIET DEFENSE working class remains the task of

it. The destruction of Russia himself may very conceivably, as off their balance by the crime of working class could recover its laboration with Hitler. Such an under the Stalinist regime? No, open military alliance between we do not want that, If all the Moreover, the restoration of Russia and Germany would com- Finnish workers would act as we capitalism in Russia would give a plicate even more an already ex- would like them to, they would tremely complicated situation, immediately establish a Soviet new lease of life to a dying world leading only to tragic consenecessary prerequisite to the reorganization of society on collectivist lines. It would be ironical trous policy of trying to construct But at present it is a question socialism in a backward agrarian what the advanced workers viet Union and will continue to Russia. With all its limitations, be so long as Lussia is surround- however, the Soviet Union is abject poverty and unemploybe so long as Lussia is surroundhowever, the Soviet Union is
abject poverty and unemploythe proletarian revolution in the jority and while the struggle is ment, and dragged them into industrialized West. He has landbloody warfare, is in its death ed not only the Soviet people but the historic importance of dethroes, they should be instru-the international working class fending what is left of the Octo-Stalin's foreign policy, which has THE IDEALS OF SOCIALISM
been forced upon him by the isoHeaven knows that Stalin and
it. A capitalist Russia would proit. A capitalist Russia would proit. A capitalist Russia would prophesied correctly when he wrote tionalized property, they will do of Stalin that "this cook will their utmost to prevent a defeat make too hot a stew."

There is only one way in which we can extricate ourselves from pudiating Stalin's Finnish adventure, dissociate ourselves from all entanglements with the imperial-Russian masses would be used as Soviet campaign. But most imist war-mongers and their anti-Soviet Union but for ourselves countries servile and obedient. In same time intensity the class same time intensify the class standing with the working classes of all lands, who alone can settle accounts with their own bour-If the international working geoisie. This international strugentangled in its internal econom- colonial peoples—its instruments class, despite its differences with gle will offer the best example to the Stalinist bureaucracy, allows the Soviet masses, for it would ers became involved in power pol- Until the day Stalin restores to itself to be so short-sighted as to remove the danger of intervenitics and were obliged to exploit the Russian and foreign capital- become the shock troops of interthe differences among the imper- ists their properties and allows rational finance in any anti-Sovi- Russian workers and peasants ialists to the complete abandon- them to set up in Moscow a stock et adventure, it will deserve all would shake off their own burment of the support of the world exchange and all the other para- that history has in store for it. eaucracy. Thus to-day, more than proletariat and the colonial peo- phernalia of finance-capital, the RUSSIAN OPPOSITION MUST ever, the emancipation of the

### THE MARXIST SCHOOL 125 W. 33rd St., NYC, Rm. 201

In response to popular demand, we are extending the lectures on DIALECTICAL THINKING.

given Mondays-8:45 p.m., beginning Feb. 26. The lectures will consider "Dialectical Materialism and its Critics." The criticisms of Hook, Eastman, etc., will be dealt with, as also the work of such modern logicians as Whitehead and Rus-

Admission: