History in the Making

HAT the revolutionary party is to play one of the most important roles in American labor history is no longer a question of doubt. At this critical moment, when the Socialist Party is moving faster and more determinedly to the right, and when the Communist Party is definitely failing the leftward moving workers, there remains only one force capable of giving correct leadership in the class struggle-the Workers Party of the U. S.

The class conscious workers are giving concrete manifestations of their willingness and readiness to struggle. Witness the general strike were torn to bits-the Colt Patent | Germany." in California; the Toledo and Minneapolis historic battles; the textile Firearms Company, the duPont Neworkers and numerous other instances. What is lacking is a revolutionary mass party. To fill that need becomes the paramount duty of the Workers Party. This imposes enormous tasks upon us.

The united forces of the two organizations which merged to form the new party contain sufficient material with which to carry out this nations for the conflict. obligation. All that is necessary is a determined, obstinate struggle for its achievement. Every party member, every sympathizer, every friend must make a little sacrifice and success is assured.

THE URGENT NEED The first need of the new Party, weak as yet compared to the great tasks sheed, is a Fund to enable us to carry out the plans of the Program of Action.

First and foremost we must rush capable organizers into the field, build and maintain Party districts in the industrial concentration areas, and organize the coast to coast tours. This will bring immediate results in membership increase; it will help double the circulation of our paper capitalists themselves, are brought sent over and slaughtered to the by giving the public a peep behind and lay the foundation for a national Left-progressive wing in the to mind. trade union movement.

RALLY TO THE SUPPORT OF THE FOUNDATION FUND CAM PAIGN. DON'T DELAY. EVERY SYMPATHIZER, EVERY FRIEND -AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT. RECEIPTS TO DATE

Branches

Branches	Mann	3.00
New York District, less percentage	Mann	10.00
deducted for local work\$159.60	J. Weber	
Rose Rolene 2.00	Miller	1.00
Rose Roiene	D. Eastman	1.00
Q. 44	Gilman	1.00
Allentown, I.a	S. Weber	.25
Boston, Mass 100.00	Green	1.00
A. Konikow 25.00	Branch No. 4	
Philadelphia, Pa 3.60	West	10.00
Individuals		5.00
J. H., New Heven, Conn 1.00	Graham	5.00
Hilda Brahinsky, Brooklyn 5.00	furshman	
Steinberg, Spartacus, N. Y. 1.00	Brooks	10.00
Steinberg, Sparateur, 11.	Beecher	1.00
323.20	Barry	1.00
	Pons	.20
Two New York Sympathizers,	Colay	1.00
Mrs. M. and R. S 400.00	Chertoff	.20
	Copeland	2,10
Total \$723.20	Black	1.00
(Certificates will be issued to the	Branch No. 5	
above within a few days.)		1.00
Additional	Stavin	
Pledge from a New York sympa-	Greenberg	.23
thizer, Mrs. M. to send \$200 to the	Miller	1.00
Fund for every other \$200 raised	Brandmark	3.00
from other friends and sympathiz-	Roth . (2.00
from other friends and sympathiz-	Duncan	.23
ers, up to a total of \$1,000.	Ferber	.50
The first to meet the challenge	J. Gordon	.23
is R. S., a New York sympathizer	Levine	2.00
who contributed \$200 and promised	Eldenson	1.00
another \$300.	Streeter	1.00
WHO WILL MAKE UP THE		
		3 (9
	Grassin	1.00
NEXT \$200?	Isenman	1.00
NEXT. \$200?	Isenman Branch No. 6	1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who	Branch No. 6 S. Gordon	1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax	Isenman Branch No. 6	1.00 .20 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1	Branch No. 6 S. Gordon	1.00 .20 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein	1.00 .20 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein	1.00 .20 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis	1.00 1.00 .20 2.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz	1.00 1.00 .20 2.00 .21
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe	1.00 .20 1.00 .20 2.00 .21 .22 .50
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow	1.00 1.00 20 2.00 21 .20 .20 .50 5.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray	1.00 .21 1.00 .21 2.00 .21 .50 5.00 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin	1.00 .20 1.00 .20 2.00 .21 .50 5.00 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap	1.00 .20 1.00 .21 .22 .50 5.00 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell	1.00 .22 1.00 .23 2.00 .23 .50 5.00 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7	1.00 .21 1.00 .21 2.00 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine	1.00 .21 1.00 .21 2.00 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Bleecker 7.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore	1.00 .20 .20 .21 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Ogden 1.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine	1.00 .20 .20 .22 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore	1.00 .20 .20 .21 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore	1.00 .20 .20 .22 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin	1.00 .20 .20 .22 .22 .50 1.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Bleecker 7.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser	1.00 .20 .20 .21 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.10 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00 Schwalbe 1.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix	1.00 .20 .20 .21 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 .21 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00 Schwalbe 1.00 Marlen 7.00 D. Morris 4.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser	1.00 .20 .20 .21 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.10 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Bleecker 7.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00 Schwalbe 1.00 Marlen 7.00 Nelson 7.00 Nelson 7.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix Jujac	1.00 .20 .20 .21 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.10 1.00 .10
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Bleecker 7.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00 Schwalbe 1.00 Marlen 7.00 Nelson 7.00 Nelson 7.00 Drake 1.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix Jujac	1.00 .20 .20 .21 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 .21 1.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Bleecker 7.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00 Schwalbe 1.00 Marlen 7.00 D. Morris 4.00 Nelson 7.00 Drake 1.00 Tanos 1.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix Jujac A Sympathizer Total Collected:	1.00 .20 .20 .20 .20 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 5.00
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Bleecker 7.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00 Schwalbe 1.00 Marlen 7.00 D. Morris 4.00 Nelson 7.00 Drake 1.00 Tanos 1.00 Lewis 4.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix Jujac A Sympathizer Total Collected: Branch 1	1.00 .20 .20 .20 .20 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 1.0
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Bleecker 7.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00 Schwalbe 1.00 Marlen 7.00 Drake 1.00 Tanos 1.00 Lewis 4.00 Marshall 2.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix Jujac A Sympathizer Total Collected: Branch 1 Branch 2	1.00 .20 .20 .20 .20 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 \$ 3.00 Leonard \$3.00 \$ 3.00 Della Guardia \$3.00 \$ 3.00 Sterling \$3.00 \$ 3.00 Strang \$10.00 \$ 6.00 Kujawsky \$6.00 \$ 6.00 Karsner \$1.00 \$ 10.00 Stevens \$16.00 \$ 10.00 Stevens \$16.00 \$ 50 Renn \$1.00 \$ 50 Renn \$1.00 \$ 50 Brinkman \$5.00 \$ 50 Brinkman \$5.00 \$ 50 Schwalbe \$1.00 \$ 1.00 Marlen \$7.00 \$ 1.00 Drake \$1.00 \$ 1.00 Tanos \$1.00 \$ 1.00 Lewis \$4.00 \$ 4.00 Marshall \$2.00 \$ 50 Spiro \$3.00 \$ 3.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix Jujac A Sympathizer Total Collected: Branch 1 Branch 2 Branch 3	1.00 .20 .20 .20 .20 .50 .50 .10 .10 .2.40 1.00 .2.40 1.00 .2.10 1.00 .2.10 .2
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Bleecker 7.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00 Schwalbe 1.00 Marlen 7.00 Drake 1.00 Tanos 1.00 Lewis 4.00 Marshall 2.00 Berg 2.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix Jujac A Sympathizer Total Collected: Branch 1 Branch 2	1.00 .20 .20 .20 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 \$ 3.00 Leonard \$3.00 \$ 3.00 Della Guardia \$3.00 \$ 3.00 Sterling \$3.00 \$ 3.00 Strang \$10.00 \$ 6.00 Kujawsky \$6.00 \$ 6.00 Karsner \$1.00 \$ 10.00 Stevens \$16.00 \$ 10.00 Stevens \$16.00 \$ 50 Renn \$1.00 \$ 50 Renn \$1.00 \$ 50 Brinkman \$5.00 \$ 50 Brinkman \$5.00 \$ 50 Schwalbe \$1.00 \$ 1.00 Marlen \$7.00 \$ 1.00 Drake \$1.00 \$ 1.00 Tanos \$1.00 \$ 1.00 Lewis \$4.00 \$ 4.00 Marshall \$2.00 \$ 50 Spiro \$3.00 \$ 3.00	Isenman Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix Jujac A Sympathizer Total Collected: Branch 1 Branch 2 Branch 3 Branch 4 Branch 5	1.00 .20 .20 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 1.0
NEXT \$200? New York District Members Who Paid Their Foundation Fund Tax Branch 1 Leonard \$ 3.00 Della Guardia 6.00 Sterling 3.00 Strang 10.00 Kujawsky 6.00 Karsner 1.00 S. Gordon 10.00 Stevens 16.00 Borkeson 1.00 Cowl .50 Renn 1.00 Bleecker 7.00 Ogden 1.00 R. Wilson .50 Brinkman 5.00 Schwalbe 1.00 Marlen 7.00 Drake 1.00 Tanos 1.00 Lewis 4.00 Marshall 2.00 Berg 2.00	Branch No. 6 S. Gordon Goodman Kent Klein Lewis Lorenz McCabe Morrow Ray Satin Schaap Udell Branch No. 7 Levine L. Lore K. Lore Bauman Brodwin Leeser Vix Jujac A Sympathizer Total Collected: Branch 1 Branch 2 Branch 3 Branch 4	1.00 .20 .20 .22 .50 5.00 1.00 2.40 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 .20 1.00 1.0

HAVE YOU SUBSCRIBED TO YOUR

Suter 3.00 Linen Works at Worcester.

Shawn 1.00

Wright 2.00

PARTY FOUNDATION CERTIFICATE

ONE DOLLAR FIVE DOLLARS TEN DOLLARS

We can supply collection lists for those who cannot afford any one of these sums by themselves. A certificate will be issued for the total collected.

Members of the organization will receive certificates equal to their Party Foundation Tax paid through the branches.

HELP RELIZE THE PROGRAM OF ACTION HELP BUILD THE WORKERS PARTY GIVE YOUR ACTIVE SUPPORT TO THIS DRIVE.

Fill In Blank Below and Mail Today.

Workers Party of the U. S. 112 East 19th St. Room 702 New York City. Enclosed find \$..... for a Party Foundation Fund Certificate. OR - Send mecollection lists for the Party Foundation Fund.

U.S. Role In Making Bosses' War Shown

WORKERS DIED FOR MORGAN LOANS ing the duPont Company of Wil-

the World War-wherein millions of workers dressed in uniforms

government by the capitalists-than in danger.

Why America Entered War the interlocking alliance between out the war. Wasserman 2.00 the financial and political "rulers" 3.00 of the United States, between the capitalists and the Government. On March 5, 1917, Woodrow Wil- found, then made. son, who had just been returned

> Committee, said in part: "The pressure of this approaching crisis. I am certain, has gone beyond the ability of the Morgan improbable that the only way of | gress began appropriating millions nanciers and industrialists.

Six years before the outbreak of: maintaining our present pre-eminent trade position and averting a panie is by declaring war on

At that moment, according to the mours & Company and the "Gov- Committee's experts, the British insight into the nature of the Am- ish government was unable to reerican capitalistic government - pay the loans, and the loans were

this: The illusion that the "Gov- Woodrow Wilson and Congress ernment belongs to everybody" is declared war on Germany immedithat a capitalist government is just the "Government" footed the billtune of \$1 a day, more than 500 the scenes. American capitalists were drawing The facts unearthed by the Sen- down a minimum of \$1,000,000 a ate Committee investigating the year each, and some were "getting" munitions industry show up clearly as high as \$15,000 per day through-

> The "Japs" Are Discovered When the war was over, a new

Peril". Plenty money was spent to get that result; newspapers were

to turn over to the duPonts a part of the U.S. reserve supply of cartridges to be shipped to Japan. Since then both the War Department and the State Department ernment" of the United States knew government was being pressed by have aided the American munition that it was going to happen. And the Morgan bank and other Amer- makers in arming Japan, Germany they set about secretly arming the ican financial institutions to pay and other so-called "enemy" counback \$400,000,000 which had been tries, at the same time preparing Nothing could give one abbetter advanced in war credits. The Brit- at home a psychology of fear and hatred, and building up a modern 'war machine". None of this is new, of course

to Japan.

Since the industrialists constitute the real government of the nation, swept away. The words of Karl ately thereafter; and not only did nothing less could be expected. The Marx, who some 80 years ago wrote the banks collect their loans, but game has been going along all the time. The Nye Committee has the "executive committee" of the and while the doughboys were being rendered a real service, however, The "Government" is like the infamous Bruce Barton. Mr. Berton

for "national defense" in the next

And at that moment in 1923 when the "Jap" scare was being

whipped up in earnest, and loudly drummed into the people through the newspapers and into the children through school text-books, the

U. S. War Department began help-

ing the duPont Company of Wil-

The American government agreed

wrote a book about Jesus and a South America.

Saar Basin Is Pawn Of Imperialist Rivals

PLEBISCITE MASKS REAL AIMS

the Saar or even all Europe.

The tiny Saar district, packed with almost 900,000 people, the majority miners, has become a pawn in the hands of practically desire "to ensure European peace": every great imperialist rival in the struggle for hegemony in Europe. The question to be decided now is this:

Is it possible for Germany and French imperialism, bound sooner or later to come to grips over the question of continental hegemony, to reach a temporary understanding which would break up the present alliance between France and Soviet Russia, thereby allowing British imperialism to weld all Europe for a short time into a powerful bloc directed against the U.S.S.R. and the U.S.A.?.

At the moment of achieving such book about the Bible, then he wrote an agreement, a new world war a terrific article in the American would become an imminent danger Magazine against war, entitled in a far more immediate sense "Let's Advertise this Hell", and he than hitherto. Thus far the anenemy had to be found, and if not then donated several full-page ads tagonism between French and Geragainst war in the popular maga- man imperialism has been the main The Wall Street financiers, the zines for World Peaceways. But stumbling block to England's perto the White House by an over- munitions makers and the coun- all this while he was serving (at sistent attempts to realize its whelming majority "because he try's big corporations, including the a big salary) as advertising agent dream of an all-European antikept us out of war", received a U. S. War Department, got busy, for the Remington Arms Company, Soviet bloc with Hitler-Germany as cable from Walter Hines Page, And soon poor dumb guys at cross- the Standard Oil Company, the its spear-head. Such a bloc seems then U. S. Ambassador in London. road stores and on street corners Chase National Bank and the du almost indispensable if British im-The cable, made public by the Nye across the country were talking Pont Nemours & Company, equip- perialism together with its Japanabout the "Japs" and the "Yellow ping armies in Europe, Asia and ese ally is to fight with a chance of success against both the Soviet In the same way, this capitalistic Union and the U.S.A. Every move subsidized by the big interests-the "Government" dupes the masses on the part of the U. S. (itself an financial interests. . . . It is not "invisible government"-and Con- and serves the interests of the fi- xious to prevent England from solidifying its European position

In the Saar today, there is in-| lest that ruin American plans for volved much more than the fate of a bloody re-division of the world on its own terms), is designed to prevent it. Naturally, American diplomacy makes its constant moves against England under cover of a

Today England seems closer to its goal than ever before. The "understanding" between German and French imperialism as to the future of the Saar mines is, in the main, England's work. Powerful capitalist groups in Germany and France which fear a premature showdown between the two, and which therefore favor an alliance under British overlordship against the Soviet Union (and the U.S.), seem to be gaining the upper hand. The German and French press have toned down mutual attacks, a fact gratifying to the petty-bourgeois "pacifist" who sees here a victory for "the cause of peace", but very alarming to the Marxist who understands that this agreement really smooths the way towards the outbreak of another world war.

The Saar has become the key to the war question, in the sense that the negotiations around the plebiscite are being utilized for the purpose of breaking up the present alliance between French imperialism and the Soviet Union.

For England the plan of an "Eastern Locarno" was, in the final analysis, but a threat whereby to pound Germany into line. The real settlement of the question of "European peace" under Britain's wing is to come out of the negotiations around the Saar. Once the ascendancy of groupings favoring a German-French understanding has been assured in England, France and Germany (and that day seems not far off), no more mention will be made of the "Eastern Locarno". Soviet Russia's isolation will be greater than it has been for a long time past. The French Russ'an allfance will be, at most, a scrap of paper. All the concessions made to French imperialism by the Soviet when talking about Trotsky. Of did not even have its own press. bureaucracy will have been in

Thus far this stage has not been reached, but this has been the trend of developments. On all sides regroupments and preparations for the new situation are taking place. Both in the German Reichswehr and in the Red army, those groups advocating a renewal of the former Russo-German alliance as an alternative to both the present Franco-Russian alliance and the proposed Franco-German alliance, again raise their heads. It is conceivable that American imperialism, to counteract England's plans (of circulated by rumors-that Chiang- which a Franco-German underregular rumor factory. Anyone Kai-Chek, head of the Kuomintang standing is the cornerstone), will slated for disfavor or elimination and commander of the Nationalist use its still great influence in Gerarmies, had ordered a pogrom on many and its growing influence in the Communists only a few days Soviet Russia in favor of a new after Stalin had made a speech Russo-German "understanding" as praising Chiang-Kai-Check as a a temporary expedient. Actual

demonstrations which might interto come to an understanding with France against Russia.

To the contending imperialist further larger ends, all leading up to a single one: the best possible

But to the unfortunate people of votes for return to Germany, then The present new turn to the right, the plebiscite will hand over to the

> The workers of the Saar see to workers of the Saar seem to have

THE METHODS OF STALINISM

By JOSEPH ZACK

(Ed. Note: This is the first of a series of articles by Joseph Zack, a former leader of the Communist Party who recently resigned and joined the Workers Party. In this article Comrade Zack paints an unforgettable picture of the methods of Stalinism as he saw them in Moscow. Next week he will describe the working of these methods in the American C.P.)

there external manifestations of it two fronts—against Right and Left restament was very bad for Stalin to maintain the alliance with the but its burrowings are deep.

o In the decline of the party's influ- proved aplenty. 0 ence particularly in the trade union 6 field, both in independent unions o figures more than 21,000 members dropped out of the party within six months since the Cleveland convention.

Outwardly, the crisis thus far 0 manifests itself in the withdrawal 25 of groups of independent unions 00 (Building Trades) and A. F. of L. cal Workers) from the party's Total\$224.95 control, as well as the going over to the opposition or "expulsion" of WORCESTER, Mass .- Reinstate- groups of active party members Leeser 1.00 ment of workers without discrimi- who are joining the W. P.

Ettlinger 1.00 nation and recognition of the U.T. Were such a thing as inner de-Gray 1.00 W.A. are the terms of a compromise mocracy in existence in the C. P. Katsikis 2.00 agreement offered by the Massathis crisis would of course take Carmodu 2.00 chusetts board of arbitration which other forms than disintegration. is is far more complex, however, than the average party member suspects. Essentially the crisis is Lenin's teachings are supposed to be the official guide of the party, Leninism in fact has been abolished Stalinism. This double bookkeeping is at the root of the present situation in the C. P. and the whole Comintern.

However, in order not to anticipate too much and in order to resame road.

Back Stage Methods

Even while Stalin was combined

away from Stalin, using Bucharin see Stalinism as it is. I never to the Kuomintang, the Chinese as the punching bag and bugaboo could admire Stalin or boost him bourgeois party of Chiang-Kaiand thus annexing the Left element with "quotations" and I was feel- Chek. The C. P. submitted to the to himself, diverting it away from ing less and less sure of myself discipline of the Kuomintang and Trotsky.

in Europe and the tiredness of the This I found out later. Russian masses themselves this strategy worked wonderfully both

and in the A. F. of L., and in the for, took for his main guiding line Stalin's caucus in those days was a decline of the unions controlled by the question of possessing himo the party itself; 2) The increased self of the party apparatus in and turnover of party membership. Ac- outside of the Soviet Union. To would first be subjected to this form cording to Browder's report (Nov. achieve this end he played both of blackmail. As to Trotsky, the O Communist) the C. P. membership ends against the middle. Thus he rumors were: 1. That he was breakdeclined from 24,000 at the time of became the arbiter, the potentate, ing discipline and organizing a new the party convention (April 1934) of the party apparatus, veering party. 2. That the Trotskyists were 00 to below 20,000, this in spite of a right and left against the back-10 recruitment of 17,000 new members ground of the opportunist theory tionary elements (White Guards). in that period. According to these and practice of "socialism in one 3. That they were organizing a country".

The Game of Make-Believe

politics in those days. His game against the party.

understood it then. But I did smell a rat in 1929 when Stalin in the American Commission to judge the Lovestoneites spoke about princiform in this country. Stalin predue to the fact that while officially tended not to have favored any of position written by themselves! the factions. I, knowing the details, knew he was lying unscrupulously and preparing to cover up these "quotations" and commentaror substituted by the mediocrity of his tracks at the same time. This ies which purported to "explain" was done by dispersing the leaders the opposition's point of view, was the slander against the Trotsky op- the workers of the world a chance of the American Left, sending them to various countries. This was done santry. 2) Preparation of a war to "prove" that Stalin did not favor against capitalist nations. 3) Loosthe minority faction. Stalin, then ening of the foreign trade monopoin full power, was preparing to ly. 4) Building up a war industry have possibly sunk so low as to of Germany and an ally of the late things against the background forbid all factions. Not only that, but neglect of the rest of economy. of American events I will tell my there was to be a "monolithic" 5) Socialism cannot be built in a I and many others did not bother as a result of the Nazi terror, the own story, for this is the story of party, a party of one thought, the peasant country, hence the prole to find out the actual facts even bargain between French and Germany others still in the party. My thought of Stalin, and no contro- tariat has to exploit the peasantry after we were out of Russia. We man imperialists, and the lack of own experience brought me to the versial discussions. It would not to prepare for the international took it more or less for granted the active solidarity of workers of same conclusions as those stated in do, therefore, to have proofs that Revolution, war, etc. the Workers Party Declaration of Stalin had been organizing factions Principles. I am deeply convinced for years before. Differences were pared against the opposition. Needthat many others will take the outlawed as "Left Social-Fascist" tionary" (Trotsky)

charge of the Comintern) in the resolution calling Trotsky a countitional Counter-Revolution." the Left in the foreign payers, thing get me "4t strention". A teachings of Legin, Stalin squeed methods and policies.

This he did by diverting the fight, few more such things and I could the affiliation of the Chinese C. P.

The Moscow Rumor Factory

inside of Russia. This is how I, to us foreigners about the internal then in a rising mood, were not as well as many others of the Left situation in the Russian party. only not encouraged but restrained There is a crisis developing in in the C. P. got hooked to Stalin's One of them was about Lenin's from revolutionary action by the the C. P. Only here and there are kite. Thus the so-called fight on testament. It was said that this C. P. in order, as the thesis read, -really was a fight mainly against and good for Trotsky, but the in-This cris's manifests itself: 1) the Left as subsequent events have formers would add: "Lenin in his imperialism. last days was losing his senses, Stelin, initiator or partner in all hence the Central Committee decidthe policies he blamed Bucharin ed to disregard his testament". hooking up with counter-revolu-Day and that Trotsky attempted It took me a long time to find individually to address the demon- by Stalin, however, was so strong companying differences as to the out the inside track of Stalin's stration and incite the masses even then, that in spite of all these inner Soviet regime. The new

> of make-believe, of pretending to When Trotsky was being exiled the proletariat in China resulting undoubtedly aimed at preventing do one thing in order to do the to Alma Ata it was said that he from Stalin's policies, the C.I. then opposition Nazi groups from stagexact opposite-a method used be- took along with him a dozen pedi- assembled in a Plenum stated: ing any provocative anti-French tween erfemies and developed into greed hunting dogs, hunting rifles, a fine art in the class struggle of servants, lots of furniture, fine the Orient-was now introduced clothes and a whole library, all the thing dumbfounded me. Although into the Communist movement by comforts of a big bourgeois intellectual. The vilification of the op-I cannot pride myself on having position in the official Russian press foreigners could not read it. We consciousness as a dark force and the Saar question. What matters tations" from Trotsky's and Zino- ing ever after. pledness, about the Tammany Hall viev's writings and plenty of analymethods of the Lovestoneites. This sis of these quotations, but we speech was reprinted in pamphlet never saw an actual textual exposition of the opposition leaders'

"Explaining" the Opposition Trotsky's position, according to

Such was the peppery dish preness to say we foreigners were (Lovestone) or "counter revolu- thus finally convinced that Trotsky's position was all wrong, con-

foreign parties by encouraging the ter-revolutionist, but in this case I Just about the time that we were lies. The whole Stalinist system is see a proletariat hardly able to development of "Left" factions was far from understanding the pretty well convinced of the an unscrupulous system of slander hold its own in a deadly struggle against Bucharin. That is, it ap- full implications of the thing. I counter-revolutionary nature of and blackmail that will condemn with reaction and fascism. The peared that way; in reality Stalin could even be talked out of my Trotskylam, occurred the Chinese its authors forever. was not afraid of Bucharin at all. impressions. But the "molecular" debacle. Those who follow events In the next isene I will point out been left aimost completely in the What he was siming at was to iso- processes kept on working down in the movement will remember how things proceeded in the Amer- lurch, facing the most cruel and late his main enemy, Trotaky, from deep in my agreedousness. Some- that, contrary to the fundamental fcan C. P. on the basis of these powerful enemies the working class

Against the background of the course ever since I objected to the In order to keep up this reaction vain. then declining revolutionary wave resolution I was on the blacklist, ary stew all kinds of fancy but completely deceitful and anti-Len inist theories were invented by While in Moscow in 1927 many Stalin and his clique. The peasant outside and even to a large extent rumors kept on percolating through masses of China, who were already Chinese national bourgeoisie against The Chinese Debacle

Then one nice day, like thunder from a clear sky, came the news-"loyal ally". Through a Chinese events in the Soviet Union, aim'ng comrade, whom I happened to know at eliminating certain groupings personally, I found out the facts, actually or supposedly involved in and also that Trotsky was opposed the Kirov assassination, may be reseparate demonstration for May to the whole line of Stalin in China. lated to this struggle between ori-The apparatus control of the C.I. entations on foreign policy and acfacts and the disastrous defeat of "purging" in Hitler Germany is "Events have verified the correctness of the line", etc., etc. This fere with plans of the Hitler clique unable to properly assemble all these things in my mind a sort of uncanny distrust took hold of me. robbers the plebiscite itself is one must have been colossal, but we Somehow Stalin appeared in my of the least important aspects of were supplied, however, with "quo- I could hardly get rid of that feel- to them is the use of this issue to

> How the Lefts Were Fooled The fact, however, that Stalin line-up for the coming war. supported the Left in the American

and other parties as against Brand- the Saar the plebiscite has enorler, Lovestone and Bucharin threw mous importance. True. if the mame off the track and prevented me jority votes for the continuation of from drawing any further conclu- the status quo (under the League sions then and thinking the matter of Nations), the question will simout subsequently. The audacity of ply be laid over for a while, giving as follows: 1) A split with the pea- position as counter-revolutionary to make of the Saar, instead of a was another factor, for none of us source of triumph for Hitler and a could fathom that the responsible step towards war-a stepping stone leaders of the Russian C. P. could towards the proletarian reconquest commit such a falsification. Hence fighting French proletariat. But if that Trotsky had become what the other countries, the Saar majority C. I. said.

this time executed officially by torture chambers and firing squade Stalin himself, had to occur in or- of the Nazis the flower of a proleder to stir up the memory of things tariat whose militant traditions We were then coming into the demned it as "left" phrase-monger- of the past against the background rank with those of our fighting with the Right wing in Russia it- era of Stalin's fancy theories of ing, "objectively" leading to a of present events. Now I know, of miners of West Virginia, Illinois self (Bucharin, Rykov and Co.) in Social-Fascism and the united counter-revolutionary position, Lat- course, that all and severally the and Pennsylvania. order to fight the Left (Trotsky, front from below. The stage was er this was further simplified to alleged deeds and views of the op-Zinoviev, etc.) he at the same time being prepared for events in the read: "The counter-revolutionary position led by Trotsky, as stated the east a country in which their was intriguing against his Russian American party. In the spring of platform of Trotskyism," or "Trot- by Stalin and his clique, are out- brethren, having suffered a cruel allies (Bucharin was then in 1928 in Moscow I objected to a skylsm, the Vanguard of Internating fabrications. I know now defeat, are incapable of lending that the whole thing is a tissue of them any help. To the west they