Report of the New York Anti-War Conference

(Continued from page 1) fism. It is at them, that the criticism should be directed!

Left Opposition Makes Itself Heard

If the party spokesmen maintained an oyster's silence on the role of pacifism at the conference, they made amends by the standpoint if presented.

off smoothly, in accordance with the pre- resolution of opinion and policy. Com- the Soviet Union!" The indignant sarcvious decisions of the party. As an innovation in the party's "united front" to read our resolution. It was heard in that may be, the fact remains that we ternational headed by such proven traitconferences, the chairman and committees an intense silence throughout the hall never sat down with Hillquit and Abe ors and social patriots as Vandervelde, were not appointed this time, but formally at least elected from the floor. Let nobody be deceived about this change that his time was up, the chairman, cilability towards-Hillquit is very gratof heart. It was not dictated, by any with half an eye to his liberal partners, concern with elementary principles of workers' democracy, but rather as a concession to its "prejudices on fairness" entertained by the liberal partners of the on the third page. Let every worker ous and unworthy of a revolutionist. The party in the movement. What constant read it and see for himself if it isn't alprotests from Left Opposition delegates most a word for word transcription in the Soviet Union is not exhausted or of all the Industrialist federations and and other non-Stalinist forces at similar conferences failed to gain from the party bureaucracy, the petty bourgeois pacifists and men of letters were able to gain with little difficulty, if only as a formality without real meaning! There was only tionary responsibility of mobilizing the one slight slip-up in the party's plans: the selection of the credentials committion as against the pacifist resolution tee. One non-Stalinist delegate, I. of supporting Lienin as against Barbuss Dryar, who represented a branch of the and Sinclair, the party spokesmen at International Workers' Order, was elect- the conference did two significant things ed on the committee as the fourth memther in addition to the three already the Stalinists tier in addition to the three already report will come up later on.

of America (Opposition) were comrades James P Cannon, Hugo Oehler and Max

In contrast to our resolution, printed elsewhere in this issue, the chairman, up by the party fraction in the American the latter compelled to defend themselves Committee for the purpose of satisfying from the criticisms of the Left wing. the totally disparate elements collected chairman for adoption by a Communist delivered by Olgin.

party press to reprint as it was read at introduced Moissaye J. Olgin for the job the Conference, endorsed the whole en- of replying to the Opposition was highly terurise without reserve, endorsed the characteristic. The only thing for call sent out by Romain Rolland. In which Olgin is "well-known" to any deother words, the chairman called upon gree in connection with war and the dethe Communist workers present to en- fense of the Soviet Union, is his ardent dorse a call which declares that war is support during the last world war of not a "question of interest of one na- Wilson and his Fourteen Points, and, tion, of one class". To endorse a move- after the Russian revolution, his faith- tionary Trotskyists" the "danger" at the for example, that men like Jouhaux, ment to "raise an immense wave of opin- ful services as a scribbler on the yellow Conference, or was the danger constitut Merrheim, and Henderson, Vandervelde ion against war, whatever war it may Jewish Daily Forward, where he tried to be, wherever it may come from, whom- convince the readers that Lenin and ever it may menace", that is, to oppose Trotsky were not only German spies but Obviously, the latter, for the pacifists of it, strange that these same men should a war-if the words have any meaning that they were strangling the Russian are the outstanding leaders of the move- be invited to take part in the defense of at all-of the working class against the democracy in the blood of the people. bourgeoisie, to oppose a war of national But if Upton Sinclair, another hero of liberation of an oppressed colonial people the "war to end all war", and H. G. against an automatic imperialist oppres- Wells, who played a similar role, are fit sor, to oppose a revolutionary war of to lead a movement now against the a victorious proletariat against a bour- danger of imperialist war, there is no geois enemy-to oppose all those wars reason why Olgin should be excluded. which Lenin tried to teach the exploit. Only, one should retain at least a slight

workers were to commit themselves, for imperialist war and defend the Soviet sorry remnants of sham radicalism declared: example, against the idea symbolized by Union" in the course of a vicious at- against which Lenin and Trotsky fought the war of the Russian workers and tack upon the spokesmen at the Conferpeasants against their bourgeoisie in ence for Lenin's standpoint the selection 1917-1918, the war of the Chinese people of the man with the least rights of anyagainst the imperialists in 1925-1927 or one to speak on the question, was their war against Japan in Manchuria today, against the war conducted by the Soviets against Pilsudsky's Poland in 1920 or against the Menshevik agency of imperialism in Georgia more than a the Militant is being devoted largely to Leftist" opposition was represented by for the interests of the proletariat. And to make a concluding defense of the decade ago, concerning this reactionary the New York Conference and other as- the Spanish, French and Italian delega- without confidence we say to you; we resolution before the house. The hall efying similarity to the New York Condocument, the Communist stage-manag- pects of this movement. Consequently, tions. In their name, Cachin, the pro- sit down at the same table with you, was in an organized tumult. Stalinist ference at which the Bolshevik delegates ers of the Conference had only words of we have been compelled to omit a num- genitor of Olgin and Co., moved: praise. They sewed their condemnations ber of other articles and other material "The enlarged Executive, after dis- will decide whether it is a maneuver, Cannon's request for a point of infor- their standpoint in contrast to that of for something else.

tute resolution. Taken aback, the chair- publish the important articles we have ter this motion was defeated, the 1922 torship, we do not expect so much, but silent. man replied that there would be "adequ- been forced to hold over.

set the official tone of the conference by if the names of the speakers and their have dictated another choice. his speech, but it was enthusiastically organizations would be sent up to the applauded by the assembled Communist platform on slips of paper. We have bling a repetition of the vulgar drivel follow a policy contrary to the daily de- on the ground of this terrible past, but simply and literally ignored. The Stalinworkers disorientated and intellectually never had any illusions about this prodemoralized by their leaders who, all cedure. It is employed for the purpose during the conference, did not utter a of enabling the chairman to make an single word of criticism of the speech, arbitrary selection of speakers, for the did not make a single reservation, did audience is left in the dark as to who not indicate in any way that the Com- has asked for the floor until the chairmunists would not identify themselves man announces his name and place. with the point of petty bourgeois paci- However, immediately upon this announcement, a slip of paper was sped to the platform in so ostentatious a manner that the whole audience could see that we had been the first to make the request. After a hurried consultation on the platform with the Central Comarrangements for the party, Joseph to Shachtman.

announced that the speaker would be neophyte that should accompany it. permitted to finish his reading.

The Opposition's resolution is printed condensed form of all that Bolshevism even approached by moral considera- associations, both national and internahas taught on the question of the war struggle, which every Communist work er should be duty-bound to support.

But instead of fulfilling their revolu Communists in support of such a resolu

The delegates of the Communist League Reply to Us

First, they felt compelled, for the first Shachtman. Despite the presence at the time in years at such conferences atdoor of the Argus-eyed Ludwig Landy, tended by them and the Opposition, to the professional Stalinist manager of discuss the two points of view: the hind "respectable" petty bourgeois new imperialist wars. such projects, the Opposition delegates Stalinist and the Leninist. At previous masks. It can only be done by a corwere permitted to enter the hall without conferences, where comparatively section for the reconstruction of the conferences, where comparatively section for the reconstruction of the conferences, where comparatively section for the reconstruction of the conferences, where comparatively section for the reconstruction of the conferences, where comparatively section for the reconstruction of the conferences, where comparatively section for the reconstruction of the conferences, where comparatively section for the reconstruction of the conferences, where comparatively section for the conference of the confe came in, they invariably had in their volved, they felt their mechanical hand either a copy of the Militant, or strength to be sufficent to settle the a mimeographed copy of the resolution question in the usual manner, i. c., by we had prepared in advance for submission to the Conference, or both. To shouting us down with infamous slandprevent in advance the complete sup- ers or by ridding themselves of the propression of our point of view, we had blem by expelling us forthwith. At this Expense of Lenin made adequate arrangements for the conference, where a fundamental quesdistribution in the lobby of our resolu- tion of principle was involved, where the tion so that, in any case, the bulk of party leaders had worked themselves the delegates would at least have a writ into a position where they had to deten memorandum of the stamipoint of the fend pacifism as against Bolshevism, the mechanical majority apparently did not suffice and the principle objections to the party line had to be met, at least to out-Jackson, a party member in the Marine ward appearances, by an open discus-Workers' Industrial Union, presented a sion of the merits of the respective standresolution in the name of the American points. In this lies the greatest victory Committee. A more wretched piece of for the revolutionists: the fact that for hollow pacifist literature could hardly the firt time on any extensive and public have been read by a Communist without scale, in the presence of hundreds of gagging. The tone set by the liberal Communist workers, the views of the "keynoter" was to be consecrated in the Opposition were presented in head-on form of a resolution, undoubtedly drawn collision with the views of Centrism with

Second, in defending themselves, the as the directors of the struggle against Stalinists not only made an elaborate war, ranging from Harry Elmer Barnes apology for pacifism and pacifists, but and Upton Sinclair to . . . Moissaye J. a demagogic attack upon Leninism, This Olgin, and presented by a Communist was the only significance of the speech

As soon as Shachtman concluded the The resolution, which we challenge the reading of our resolution, the chairman

Because of the importance of "Congress Against War", this issue of and-a-Half) International, the "ultra- must be the instruments of the struggle demand if he would be given the right not permit me to put 'conditions' to the which was intended for publication this cussing the invitation addressed to the as you say, in favor of the Communist mation from the chair as to the pro-No sooner had the chairman announced week, including the next article in the Communist International by the Vienna International or a stream which will uncedure on the resolution. Without paythat there would now be discussion from series on "Nine Years of the Struggle International for the participation in a ite the working class. What you do will ing the slightest bit of attention to his finally managed to make their way the delegates, than comrade Shachtman of the Left Opposition". We ask the common conference of the Second, Twoof the C. L. O. (O.) delegation, jumped indulgence of our readers for a week, and a Half, and Amsterdam Internation of the gavel, the gavels of a whole race of "chairof the C. L. O. (O.) delegation, jumped indulgence of our readers for a week, and the contract of all lands—not fight for dictase admonishing all to sit down and remain up to request the floor to read a substisince the next issue of the Militant will tionals, decides not to accept." And afteriat of all lands—not fight for dictase admonishing all to sit down and remain which we learned from Lenin, which we

ate opportunity for everybody" to speak little too much. Discretion alone should seems to us that the reformists of all further world ruin-then in this strug- to speak at least once, not to mention a

with which the Dally Worker of that fense and welfare of the proletariat. We on the ground of fresh facts. So long ists were determined that the Left Opmorning had greeted the conferencetenderness for the pacifists and calumny any conditions, the adversaries and sab- cold hearts into these negotiations, and present its point of view, which had aland rudeness for the Opposition-sought of the real united front of the into this common action with deep mis- ready caused so much embarrassment in in vain to put some "revolutionary spirit" into his remarks against the "Trotskyists". At the same time, he inpolitics: the substitution of moral standards for political and class criteria. opposition to war. They will defend the could not understand it, because they Soviet Union. The 'radical' phrases of simply neglected to notice that the redo they propose in their place? That the Sinclairs and Barbusses and Wellses! By a snap vote, it had already been the Communist International shall make at the conference, they made amends by By a shap vote, it had already been a united front with the Second International! Lenin's Comintern Opposition. It is no exaggeration to say war, the speakers from the floor would tional and the Amsterdam International! that the real center of attention at the be limited to five minutes each. In view They propose", cried this maestro of Conference was the Left Opposition and of the delays in getting started, this left irony and revolutionary intransigeance, in 1922 less than an hour for discussion on the "that we shall unite with Norman All the preliminary arrangements went most important Conference question: the Thomas and Morris Hillquit to defend and when, at the end of the first five Cahan to strack the Soviet Union, where Henderson, Wels and Co.? It declared: minutes, a few zealots began shouting as Olgin did. His conversion to irreconifying, but it lacks the humility of the

In any case, the manner in which Olgin posed the question is both ludierproblem of fighting war and defending poses the participation in the Conference tions. Sincerity and honesty of this or tional; of the Red International of Lathat individual is a great virtue, but bor Unions; of the International Fedit is not decisive in politics. The de-eration of Trade Unions (Amsterdam fense of the Soviet Union is a political International); of the C. G. T. U. of speakers, although they spoke officially question which is decided by the process-| France; of the Italian Syndicalist Un- in the name of the Communist party. es of the class struggle. Not Barbusse's ion; of the American Federation of La- The floor was also given freely to various sincerity or Sinclair's repentance is of bor (even Gompers you see!) and of other representatives. The terrible congreat consequence, but the masses. Bar- other autonomous industrial associations. fusion existing among party members busse, Rolland, Einstein, Alla Nazimova, The Communist International also consi- who spoke on this fundamental question and even Michael Gold, do not have nor ders it necessary to invite the principal of the struggle against war, could only will they ever have the masses follow- anarcho-syndicalist organizations; the I. leave a saddening impression upon Com-

struggle against war and for Russia will, sive fight against the capitalist offensive was opposed to the war because a rela- Upon his protests, the doors were immeat best, limp along on one foot. The and a struggle against reaction, as au tive was killed in the last war. Another, diarely closed at the rear of the hall, problem is to mobilize these masses. addition it proposes to complete the an engineer who had been to the Soviet a scuffile took place and he was barred But this can not be done by a Venetian agenda with the following items: carnival where the Communists hide beas adopted by the Comintern under the leadership of Lenin and Trotsky.

Olgin was followed several speakers later by his fellow party delegate, Dr. cessful in the professions of dental surted front with these same traitors and them down, workers of the world!" patriots to fight against the war danger!" standing to which their leaders have confirmed and tested social patriots as tion by the Communist party at its "unidriven them. We shall be unremitting Hlysmans, Vandervelde, Stauning, Otto ted front' conferences, is the substitute in our endeavors to change that situa-

is unforgivable. Their labored irony was off, D. D. S.? exclusively at the expense of Lenin. More, on the pacifists and not on Lenin.

Olgins and Manuilskys declared: "It fight for a crust of bread, fight against The elementary right of a delegation

countries are parting more and more gle the proletariat will come closer to- summary, on a resolution accepted by the Olgin feebly and half-heartedly mum- with the working class and that they gether, and then we shall judge you not chair for a vote by an assemblage, was do not doubt that they will be, under as these are not present, we go with position should not get the floor again to working masses."

his masters in the Politbureau, they together, not for love of you, but because sponse from the chairman, the latter troduced a new idea into Communist could not understand how the Comintern of the unprecedented need of the hour put the pacifist resolution to a vote. It could denounce the reformists as traitors and at the same time join in a uni-"Men like Henri Barbusse and Romain ted front "from the top" (Horror of munists you have treated as criminals." the delegates, and the chairman tried to Rolland are at least sincere in their horrors!) with the same traitors. They mittee prompter in charge of the floor this Trotskyist resolution," he continued, formists had millions of workers be-"criticize the Communists for uniting hind them, just as they have today-Brodsky, the chairman gave the floor with Barbusse and Rolland. And what the social democratic parties, and not violently obliterated the past, the Lenin-

What was the Comintern resolution adopted against the standpoint of Cachin?

"The Enlarged Executive of the C. I., taking note of the proposition of the Vienna International declares for the participation of the parties of the Com-Conference. The Enlarged Executive of the Communist International also pro-W. W., the Shop Stewards, etc. . . . The munists acquainted with the elements with us, they succeeded in doing with the The masses, that is, the majority of Communist International accepts the of Marxism. Few indeed spoke on the delegate from the Weisbord group who them, still follow the social democrats. agenda of the Conference as proposed by question from the standpoint of the class presented his credentials at the door Without the support of these masses, the the Vienna International, viz., a defen- struggle and revolutionary policy. One while the conference was in session.

comfiture of all past, present and future Cachins and Olgins!

We can still hear, ten years after wards, the echo of the words of a Markoff of those days: "How? You denounce Vandervelde as Belgian imperialism's war minister, Henderson as His Majesty's war minister, Wels and Scheidemann as the Kaiser's war ministers, the A. Markoff, who has been equally suc- Georgian Mensheviks as the armed counter-revolutionary agents of Allied gery and Stalinist officialdom. Both of imperialism-and yet you propose to sit them worked up considerable merriment around one table with them to discuss in the audience with their principal ob- as point 1 on the Agenda 'Preparations servation on our resolution: "Just look." of the fight against new imperialist they jeered, "in one sentence they call wars"? Down with the Executive Com the social democrats traitors and social mittee of the Communist International! patriots. And in the very next sentence Down with Lenin, Trotsky, Zinoviev and they propose that we should make a uni- all the other Trotskyist renegades! Howl

And yet, on April 2, 1922, comrades The fact that the scores of party mem- Radek, Bucharin, Zetkin, Rosmer, Kataber's present joined in the laughter, is yama, Vuyovitch and the rest of the dea deplorable, but quite comprehensible, legation of the Communist Internation- lated socialist worker who supports the commentary on the low level of under- al literally sat down in Berlin with such Soviet Union, and his decorative presenta-Wels, Ramsay MacDonald, Tseretelli (the which Stalinism offers today in place of Georgian Menshevik, whose party had But Olgin and Markoff, who have the shot down scores and hundreds of revresponsibilities of the leadership, even if olutionary workers and peasants of it was obtained by disemboweling them. Georgia!), for the Second International, selves, who know that our resolution, far and Crispien, Longuet, Martov, Abram-

And do you know, Messrs Olgin, Browit was at the expense of the revolution- der and Markoff, what Mr. Emile Vanderary training indispensable to the work velde said at the Conference to the ers present. Were the "counter-revolu Communists? "Whilst we are being told, ed by the stifling pacifist vapors which or Longuet are serving the interests of ment, it is their resolutions which are proletarian interests." Now, Citizen presented . . . and adopted with Stalin- Olgin, do you see who is the originator ist assistance. The duty of a Com- of this argument? Is such flagrant plag- head could get both the floor and acclaim the I. S. B. Before we can speak of munist leader was to center his criticism jarism from a social democrat, a social of the Stalinists, a different atitude was peace terms, we should establish with In deriding our resolution, the Stalin- "leader in the struggle against war"? Left Opposition. Towards the end of towards that end it must be established ists not only took up the cudgels for And further do you know what reply the meeting, Brodsky, who manipulated if a general revolutionary social demed of the earth to carry on unremittingly. sense of proportion and values. Of all pacifism, but brought out the graveyard Vandervelde and Conference of the patry with the ocratic basis exists, if we are conferring By this resolution, the Communist the people available in the party to "fight of the Communist Thernational those the Communist Radek skill and cynicism common of the legal as chauvinists, pacifists or as social dem-

trust that you may fail us ten times their ranks. While comrade Cannon was Like Olgin at the Conference, and like in this battle. But we will try to fight still on the floor attempting to get a re-(Conference Minutes, pp. 32-33.)

quotations from the past. The Stalinist apparently under the impression that this marauders have brought such de-educa- was a party membership meeting. Voices tion into the movement, they have so of protest again were raised throughout ist past, that the very foundations of cided to put our resolution forward. It our movement have become obscured and received a small minority of the body, remain unknown to the new generation a voice vote which included over twenty of Communist workers. The maraud. delegates. ers compel us each time to dig up A B C. Thus the Conference, which gagged the truths out of the past, to elucidate them Opposition speaker and cheered the with painfully elaborate simplicity, not pacifists, voted overwhelmingly for Barmerely for the prematurely senile bureau- usse and howled down the words of crats but primarily for the new Com- Lenin! munist forces whom they are so cruelly | The gagging of the Opposition on the miseducating.

actually jeering at-Lenin!

Cheer Confusionists Gag Oppositionists

Olgin and Markoff were not the only

"1. Preparations of the fight against that he represented nobody but himself the hall knowing what had happened unof Communist workers who cheered him. ed thenceforward at the credentials table ious representative-of-nobody-but-himself, its organizers. this movement should carry on a cam-

> Another speaker was Roth, a member of the Socialist party from Ithaca, New York. The discovery of this or that iso- London in 1915 and see that the fratricidal war in the Left tive quotation: wing movement of labor is confined to

Fascist, so to speak, permissible for a adopted towards the delegation of the what means we shall strive for them and "Bût then you say: 'If this is so, what bring the discussion to a close. Why?' chairman does not let me conclude and such a terrific battle at the Third Con- do you want of this Conference, what Because comrade Cannon had sent up his declares that it is not yet made clear gress of the Communist International, tactical maneuvers are you after?' And name to the chairman to speak in de- (!) if I am a delegate and that they the Congress, Lenin said, the Interna- face what you want. You came to this speakers had been given extended time, of criticizing the various parties' (!) . . . tional in February 1922, on the question Conference because you had to; you were to attack and misrepresent, immediately, I . . . seek to continue the declaration of the conference of the three Inter- the instruments of world reaction, and comrade Cannon rose, as did other de- in order to establish if I may remain. the nationals proposed by the Vienna (Two- now, whether you want it or not, you legates in various parts of the hall, to The chairman interrupts me and does we will fight with you, and this fight "plants" sought to shout down comrade were also denied the right to present

which drives us and compels you to was overwhelmingly adopted, with negaconfer in this hall with the very Com- tive votes from less than ten percent of proceed to the next order of business Our readers will pardon our extensive without putting our resolution to a vote,

floor came only after an unsuccessful In any case, the quotations we have attempt to unseat the Opposition delecited-a few out of thousands-are gation. When the credentials committee enough to show that when Olgin and met, Ludwing Landy, not a committee Markoff played upon the emotions and member, pointed to our credentials and ignorance of the workers present, when observed: "That's from the Trotskyists. they sought to whip up a mob spirit Unseat them!" Dryar, a committee munist International in the projected against the Left Opposition, they were member, turned upon him and demanded: "Are you a committee member? If not, we dont take any instructions from you!" Nevertheless, the proposal to unseat us was made in the Committee, and were it not for the resistance of Dryar and his promise to the Stalinist memhers that he would bring the matter to the floor and fight to have us scated. their proposal for exclusion would undoubtedly have been reported. As it was, the report was brought in to "seat all delegates" and before you could say "Jack Robinson!" the report was declared accepted and the chairman hurried on to the next order of business,

What they did not succeed in doing Union, and who continued to emphasize --without any of our delegation inside (and isn't that the case with the rest til after the conference. In the course their opportunistic policy?) delivered a the excluded comrade who was being speech which was a disgrace, not so attacked by Stalinists, the police were much to himself, but to the conference called to "preserve order" They remain-One of his relatives, too, had been near the entrance, supervising the newwounded in a war and he demanded (and comers to see that they conformed with how militantly!) that we shall no longer the "requirements" established by the petition our governments against war, Committee! Their supervision was unbut demand that they do not unleash the doubtedly of great assistance in preservdogs of war. Is the distinction plain to ing the level of the conference at the you? Furthermore, proposed this ingen- point of unruffled peace so desirable to

All doors open to petty bourgeois pacipaign to enlighten the "soldiers, the fists! Suppression of the Marxists! police, the government spies" (we quote Cheers and applause for the liberals, the literally!) to "humanitarian ideas against "honest", the "reliable" friends of Ruswar." He was thickly applauded, but sia, the people who are, at best, weak not one party member in his speech had reeds to lean on and, at worst, treacha word to say about his standpoint-erous allies! Boos and hisses for the they were too occupied with defending revolutionary Communists! This is the the pacifists against the Left Opposition. balance-sheet of the party's policy at the New York Conference.

There is a striking analogy with our winning the masses of reformist workers position contained in what happened at in a genuine united front. Roth's speech the obscure "London Conference" of Febwas also wildly applauded and exempted ruary 14, 1915, called by the Allied sorom a word of comradely criticism by cial patriots and Centrists, to which the party speakers. After having heard Lenin's Central Committee sent as its from being a subject for mockery among ovitch, Adler and Bauer of the Two-and- the sharp Communist criticism we made representative, Maximovitch (Litvinov). Communists, is merely a simple state- a-Half International to discuss a uni- of the social democracy in our resolu- to present the Bolshevik standpoint on ent of established Bolshevik ideas, dis- ted front against war and the capitalist tion, not only consonant with participa- war. His report is included in Lenin's played a demagogic scoundrelism which offensive. Isn't it strange, Dr. A. Mark- tion in a united front but quintessential, article of March 3, 1915, "On the London this Socialist declared: "I am glad to Conference". Here is a brief, instruc-

> "I take the floor and protest against a few factions in the Communist and the official representative of our party Socialist movements." By "fratricidal not having been invited to the Internawar", he evidently meant our revolution- tional Socialist Bureau. The chairman, ary criticism. His gratification, too, interrupts me and contends that all those was justified. He met with no such rev- 'whose names are known' have been inolutionary criticism from the Stalinists; vited. I protest a second time against nor did the pacifists who have been im- the failure to report on the actual reposed upon the "Anti-War Congress" as presentatives. Thereupon I refer to our manifesto which expresses our standpoint But if every well-intentioned muddle-, towards the war and which was sent to profession, suddenly made a motion to ocrats. I read our declaration, but the

> > Lenin's views on the question of war

(Continued on page 3)