THE DRAFT PROGRAM OF THE COMINTERN L. D. TROTSKY

FOREW ORD

Marxist-Leninist literature was

submitted by comrade Trot-

adopted the draft program

distribution to members of

tion on the burning problems

literature of our period.

CONTINUED FROM LAST ISSUE

Having inherited and restored these productive forces the workers' government is COMPELLED to import and export.

The trouble is that the draft program drives mechanically into its text the thesis of the incompatibility of modern productive forces with the national boundaries, arguing as if there were no question at all of this incompatibility. Essentially the whole draft is a combination of ready-made revolutionary theses taken from Marx and Lenin and of opportunist and centrist conclusions which are absolutely incompatible with these revolutionary theses. That is why it is necessary WIIII OUT BECOMING ALLURED BY THE REV MAIN TENDENCIES LEAD.

chapter which speaks of the possibility of the victory of socialism "in one capitalist country." This idea is still more roughly and sharply form- 1917" was much closer to present day China than ulated in the 4th chapter, saying that the:

"Dictatorship (?) of the world proletariat . . . can be realized only as a result of the victory of socialism which have been in existence before."

But this is not what the authors of the draft mean. they are characterized in the draft program. By a victory of socialism, they do not mean simply If, however, we approach the question of so- stacles and contradictions, which are in the main by the delegates. The sole the capture of power and the nationalization of cialist construction only with this criterion, ab- a reflection of world contradictions, can be settled attention accorded it was its the means of production but the building up of stracting from other conditions such as the material merely by "the inner forces of the revolution" a socialist society in one country. If we were to resources of the country, the correlation between without entering "the arena of the world-wide and a report on the docuaccept this interpretation then we would receive industry and agriculture within it, its place in the proletarian revolution" then the International is ment to the "Senioren-Konnot a world socialist economy based on an inter world economic system, then we will fall into new, partly a subsidiary and partly a useless institution, vent" of the Congress which national division of labor but a federation of self- no less gross, mistakes and contradictions. We the Congresses of which can be held once in four immediately "settled" the issufficing socialist communes in the spirit of bliss- have just spoken about Great Britain. Being no years, once in ten years or perhaps not at all. If ful anarchism with the only difference that these doubt a highly-developed capitalist country, it, we were to add that the proletariat of the other . A rigid control on this communes would be enlarged to the size of the PRECISELY BECAUSE OF THAT, has no countries must protect our construction from milforthwith and the few present national states.

chapter, where hiding behind one and a half lines few months.

such extraordinary historical conditions? On this law of uneven development is forgotten precisely

"The imperialist front was broken through (by Czarist Russia."-(Our emphasis).

nique and international division of labor. This national boundaries. was our idea when we ventured upon the October Endeavoring to prove the theory of socialism in position" which capitalism is in would be simple Revolution. The Party has formulated this idea one country the draft program makes a double, revolutionary nonsense. "There is no absolute press and at meetings. But since 1925 they are level of the productive forces in the U.S.S.R.; it equilibrium in the European countries cannot conopposite to that. Now we learn that the fact of the various branches of industry; it ignores the ity. When Stalin and Bucharin maintain that the that Czarist Russia was "the weakest link" gives international division of labor; and, finally, it for- U.S.S.R. can get along without "State" aid of the Czarist Russia with all its weaknesses, an invaluable the imperialist epoch existing between the pro- its victory over the bourgeoisie, because the present advantage which is no more and no less than the ducive forces and the national barriers.

for the "complete construction of socialism." Unfortunately, Britain does not possess this ad-

vantage in view of the EXCESSIVE development of her productive forces which require almost the whole world to be able to secure the necessary raw material and to dispose of her products. the productive forces of Great Britain would be more "moderate" and maintain a relative equilibrium between industry and agriculture, then the British proletariat would apparently be able to build up complete socialism on its own island protected from foreign intervention by the navy.

the capitalist states into three groups: 1) "countries The task of the parties in the Comintern becomes, OLUTIONARY FORMULA CONTAINED IN of highly developed capitalism (United States, therefore, of an auxiliary character, namely their THE DRAFT to watch closely WHITHER ITS Germany, Great Britain, etc.)"; 2) "countries of mission is to protect the U.S.S.R. from intervenan average level of capitalist development (Russia tion and not to fight for the capture of power. We have already quoted that part of the first prior to 1917, Poland, etc.; 3) "colonial and It is of course not a question of the subjective semi-colonial countries (China, India, etc.)."

Notwithstanding the fact that "Russia prior to thought. to the United States, one could refrain from any serious objection to this schematic division were it not for the fact that it serves as a source of wrong (?) in individual countries if the newly-formed pro- conclusions in connection with other parts of the letarian republics establish a federation with those draft. Inasmuch as the countries "with an average level" are declared to possess "sufficient industrial If we are to interpret the words "victory of minimums" for independent socialist construction, socialism" as another name for the proletarian dic- this is particularly true concerning countries of tatorship then we will arrive at the general state- high capitalist development; it is ONLY the colment which is irrefutable for all and which it onial and semi-colonial countries that need assistwould be necessary to formulate less dubiously. ance. That is precisely, as we shall see later, how ence between national reformism and revolutionary

This idea is still more definitely and, if this is the limits of its own island. Great Britain if ing to this scheme, must play the role of a were distributed were reat all possible, more grossly expressed in the fifth blockaded would simply choke in the course of a PACIFIST instrument. Its main role, the role of called by the Secretariat. Our abated by a proper internal policy based on Marx-

of Lenin's distorted article published after his The draft program forgets the main thesis that death, the authors of the draft declare that the present productive forces are incompatible with national boundaries, from which it follows that "possesses the necessary and sufficient MATERIAL highly developed productive forces are by no prerequisites in the country not only for the over means a lesser obstacle in the construction of sothrow of the nobility and the bourgeoisie out also cialism in one country than low productive forces, for the COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION OF SO, although for the reverse reason, namely, if the latter are insufficient to serve as their basis, for Owing to what circumstances have we secured the former the basis will prove inadequate. The point we find a reply in the second chapter of the at the point where it is most needed and most

The question of the construction of socialism is the revolution of 1917) at its WEAKEST LINK, not at all settled merely by the industrial "maturity" or "immaturity" of a country. This im-This is Lenin's splendid formula. Its meaning maturity is in itself UNEVEN. In the U.S.S.R., is that Russia was the most backward and econ- where some branches of industry are extremely inomically weakest of all imperialist states. That is sufficient to satisfy the most elementary home reprecisely why her ruling classes were the first to quirements (particularly machine construction), suffer shipwreck as they had forced on the IN- other branches on the contrary cannot develop un-SUFFICIENT productive forces of the country an der present conditions without extensive and inunbearable burden. Uneven, sporadic develop- creasing exports. Among the latter are such ment compelled, therefore, the proletariat of the branches of first importance as timber, oil, manmost backward imperialist country to be the first ganese, let alone agriculture. On the other hand one to take power. Formerly we were told that even the "inadequate" branches cannot seriously it is precisely because of this that the working develop if the "super-abundant" (conditionally) class of the "weakest link" will have the greatest will be unable to export. The impossibility to difficulties in its progress towards socialism as com- build up an isolated socialist society not as a Utopared with the proletariat of the advanced coun- pia, not on the Atlantide but in the concrete geotries for which it will be more difficult to take graphical and historical conditions of our earthly power but which, having taken power long before economy is determined for various countries in dent of the world revolution. we have overcome our backwardness, will not only different ways-by the insufficient development get ahead of us but will carry us along so as to of some branches and the "excessive" development bring us towards the point of real socialist con- of others. On the whole, this means that the world arena. Can the bourgeoisie secure for itself struction on the basis of the highest world tech- modern productive forces are incompatible with a new great epoch of capitalist growth and power?

ten, nay, hundreds of thousands of times in the treble and quadruple mistake—it exaggerates the hopelessness" (Lenin). The present unstable class trying to displace it by an idea which is quite the closes its eyes to the law of uneven development tinue indefinitely precisely because of its instabilthe proletariat of the U.S.S.R., the inheritor of gets the most important contradiction inherent in proletariat of the other countries, that is, without

possession of its own national prerequisites for 8. THE FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCE BE-TWEEN NATIONAL REFORMISM AND REVOLUTIONARY INTERNATION-ALISM.

The question can be solved only on the arena of the world revolution. The new doctrine says that socialism can be built on the basis of a national state it only there would be no intervention. From here can and must tollow (notwithstanding all pompous declarations in the draft program) an opportunist policy in regard to the foreign bourgeoisie. The object is to avoid intervention, as this will guarantee the construction of socialism, The draft program divides in its fourth chapter which is the main historical question to be solved. intentions but of the objective logic of political Program of the Commu-

> "The difference here lies in the fact," says Stalin, "that the Party considers that these (internal) contradictions and possible conflicts CAN BE ENTIRE-LY OVERCOME on the basis of the inner forces sky to the Sixth World Conof our revolution whereas Comrade Trotsky and the Opposition think that these contradictions and conflicts can be overcome 'only on an international scale, on the arena of the world-wide proletarian drafted by comra les Bucharevolution'."-(Pravda, Nov. 12, 1926).

Yes, this is precisely the difference. One could tire validity of this timely

not express better and more correctly, the differinternationalism. If our internal difficulties, ob Congress and never discussed equilibrium on the revolutionary path. chance for successful socialist construction within itary interventions, then the International accordan instrument of world revolution, recedes in this publication is an authentic ian forecast. But they can be finally overcome the "incredulous", "sceptical" people. connection inevitably to a backward position. And copy which we have just re only when the class contradictions will be overthis, we repeat, is not a result of anyone's deliber the role of American Imate intentions, on the contrary, many points in perialism and the prospect of torious revolution in Europe. Stalin is right. The the new theoretical position which is a thousand the Chinese revolution and times more dangerous than the worst subjective its lessons, and with the forintentions. The draft program expresses an in- mation of workers and peas- 9. THE THEORY OF SOCIALISM IN ONE controvertible idea when it says that the economic ants parties which Trotsky, success of the U.S.S.R. constitutes an inseparable in principle. Trotsky's compart of the world-wide proletarian revolution. ment on the "Third Party But the political danger of the new theory lies in Alliance" with La Follette, ably leads to an under estimation of the difficulties of international socialism—the lever of our economic achievements and the lever of the world-wide munists. The entire docuproletarian revolution. Without a victorious pro- ment will be printed in full the world over must clearly understand this. Its basic important for the The lever of economic construction is of tremend- international resolutionary but its downfall would be such a blow to the inter- of the Communist Internanational revolution from which it would take many tional make is an invaluable ence between the socialist world and the world of capitalism depends on the second lever, and that is the world proletarian revolution. The gigantic importance of the Soviet Union lies in the fact that it is a pillar of the world revolution and not at all because it is able to build up socialism indepen-

The economic and political problem enters the Merely to deny this, depending on the "hopeless active sympathy of the working masses protects us

large scale. But to think that this correlation of and religion is an opiate for the people. forces will continue for many years, let us say, Our Party has passed through its heroic period until the final establishment of socialism in the with a program which was entirely orientated on U.S.S.R. means to display the utmost short-sighted the international revolution and not on socialism ness and a judgment of the progress of a long in one country. On its programmatic banners it unstable position in which the proletariat cannot forces will not build up socialism. The Y.C.L take power but in which the bourgeoisie does not has experienced the most strenuous years of civil stallment of "The Draft home, must a year sooner or later, be definitely day-ings, epidemics, studies on a hunger diet, numdecided in one way or another, either in favor of berless sacrifices, paying dearly for every step for-

gress of the Communist In ropean bourgeoisie can find a way out of its grave for which it is essential that the Soviet stronghold this. contradictions only through the defeats of the pro- holds out and for the Soviet stronghold every log The principle question raised in the quoted pasletariat and the mistakes of the revolutionary lead- is important. That is how we approached the sage, namely, THE CONCEPTION OF THE rin and Stalin, without any ership. But it would be correct to say also the question. The conception of time has changed BUILDING UP OF SOCIALISM IN ONE important changes The en reverse. A new boom of world capitalism (of and shifted so that God himself does not know the COUNTRY AS A SOCIAL PATRIOTIC CONcourse with the prospect of new epochs of great extent, but the fundamental idea has remained CEPTION was undoubtedly formulated correctly. upheavals) is impossible if the proletariat will only in full force now. The proletarian, the poor peas. The patriotism of the German social democrats that it was kept from the be able to find a way out of the present unstable ant, the partisan and the young Communists, have began as a patriotism to their own party, the most

> 1920 at the Second Congress, "that they are sufficiently conscious and organized and that they have enough contact with the exploited masses, and

Our internal contradictions, however, which depend directly on the trend of the European and world struggle, may be reasonably regulated and ceived. It deals chiefly with come, which is out of the question without a victhe program show the very best intentions of its new revolutionary situations, difference lies precisely here, and that is the fundauthors—but as a result of the inherent logic of the revisionist theoly of "So- amental diference between national reformism and revolutionary internationalism.

COUNTRY AS A SOURCE OF INEVIT-ABLE SOCIAL PATRIOTIC BLUNDERS.

The theory of socialism in one country inexor-

the false comparative evaluation of the two levers of international socialism—the lever of our econa more anti-Socialist and anti-revolutionary statement than that made by Stalin to the effect that letarian revolution we will not be able to build up consecutively in this and the nine-tenths of socialism has already been realized socialism. The European workers and the workers Militant without any changes. able especially for a self-contented bureaucrat. By this one can hopelessly discredit the idea of a ous significance. Without proper guidance, the movement and the nanswer- socialist society in the eyes of the laboring masses. dictatorship of the proletariat would be weakened able correctness of its posi. The successes of the laboring proletariat are enormous if we take into consideration the conditions under which they have been attained and the inyears to recover. But the main historical differ contribution to the Bolshevik herited low cultural level of the past. But these but also against reform. achievements constitute an extremely small magnitude on the scales of the socialist ideal. For the worker, agricultural laborer, and poor peasant who the United States of Europe, which has already any dispute on this question among grown up revosees that in the eleventh year of the revolution, been quoted we read: poverty, misery, unemployment, bread lines, illiteracy, homelessness, drunkenness, prostitution, have not abated, the harsh truth and not pleasant falsehoods is necessary. Instead of telling him that nine-tenths of socialism has already been realized, we must say that by our economic level, by our social and cultural conditions, we are much closer to capitalism and a backward and uncultured capitalism at that-than to socialism. We must tell them that we will enter on the path of real socialist construction only when the proletariat of the most advanced countries will capture power; that it is necessary to work over that without folding our arms, and with the two levers at that-with the short lever of our internal economic efforts and the long lever of the international proletarian

One hears from prominent leaders of the Comin-

from intervention, this betrays such blindness as tern the following arguments. The theory of the entire ramification of the principal mistake in socialism in one country of course, is unfounded, but it gives the Russian workers a perspective in It is absolutely incontrovertible that after the the difficult conditions under which they labor Social Democrats had disrupted the post-war in and thus gives them courage. It is difficult to surrections of the European proletariat against the measure the depth of the theoretical fall of those bourgeoisie, the active sympathy of the working who seek in the program, not a scientific basis masses saved the Soviet Republic. The European for their class orientation, but a moral consolation. bourgeoisie proved, during these years, powerless Consoling theories which do not tally with facts in waging war against the Worker's State on a belong to the sphere of religion and not science,

thusiasm are theoretically and psychologically ficient" national prerequisites. closely related to the accusation which the reformomic gains and for parliamentary reforms.

fate of the Soviet Republic and hence his own en the creative power of the coming socialist society. tirely depends on the international revolution, They proceeded entirely from the theory of socialwill fulfill his duty in relation to the U.S.S.R. ism in one country and made sacrifices to this idea much more energetically than the worker who is believing that "temporarily" this was internationtold that what we already possess is nine-tenths of al solidarity. socialism. For "is it worth while to strive for socialism?" The reformist orientation also here as everywhere else works not only against revolution

In the article of 1915 dealing with the slogan of state is treachery. This is surely so. Can there be

"To regard the prospects of a social revolution for a deliberate falsehood. within national boundaries means to become the victim to the same national narrowness which constitutes the substance of social patriotism. Vaillant land of social revolution and it is precisely in this sense that he stood to the end for the defense of that country. Lensch and others-some hypocritically and others sincerely-consider that a defeat of Ger. Comrade and friend: gotten that in social patriotism there is apart from have never seen in print or otherwise. vulgar reformism a certain tendency of national revolutionary Messiahanism which believes its own na-·framework of a more developed nation this Mes- ist tactics that are carried on. siahanism connected with the program of national

defense would have its relative historical justification. But as a matter of fact it is not conceivable. To fight for the preservation of a national basis of revolution by such methods which break up the international ties of the proletariat, actually means to undermine the basis of revolution which can begin on a national basis but which cannot be completed on that basis under the present economic and military interdependence of the European states which has never been revealed so forcefully as during the present war. This interdependence which will directly cause concerted action on the part of the European proletariat in the revolution is expressed by the slogan of a United States of Europe." (Trotsky, Volume 3, Part 1, P. 90-91.)

Proceeding from a misinterpretation of the polemics of 1915, Stalin has many times endeavored period by the immediate development. Such an was written that backward Russia with her own to show that by "national narrowness" Lenin was alluded to. It is hard to imagine any bigger nonsense. When I polemized with Lenin I always did tant prints the fourth in feel firm enough that it is the master of its own war, hunger, cold, hard Saturday-ings and Sun so openly because I was guided only by ideological considerations. In the given case Lenin was not involved in the least. The article mentioned the ticism of Fundamentals" the proletarian dictatorship or in favor of capitalist ward that has been made. The members of the people against whom these accusations were hurled by L. D. Trotsky. This stabilization on the backs of the masses, on the Party and the Y.C.L. fought at the fronts or car, by their names-Vaillant, Lensch and others. One document, a masterpiece of bones of the colonial peoples and . . . perhaps on ried logs to the stations, not that national social, must remember that the year of 1915 was a year ism may be built out of those logs, but because of social patriotic bacchanalia and of our heated "There is no absolute hopelessness!" The Eu- they served the cause of international revolution battles against it. Every question was centered on

> shown by their conduct up to 1925 when the new powerful party of the II. International. On the evangelium was for the first time proclaimed that basis of highly developed German technique and "It is necessary to prove now by the practice of they were not in need of it. But it is the official the high organizational abilities of the German the revolutionary parties," said Lenin on July 19, who looks down towards the masses, the petty ad- people, the German social democrats were bent ministrator who does not want to be disturbed, the on the construction of their "own" socialist soofficer who seeks to command under cover of an ciety. If we leave aside the die-hard bureaucrats, determination and ability to make use of the crisis all-saving and consoling formula, that need it. careerists, parliamentary sharpers and political for a successful and victorious revolution."—(Lenin, It is they who think that the ignorant people need crooks in general, the social patriotism of the rank the "good tidings", that the people cannot be dealt and file social democrats was a result precisely of with without consoling doctrines. It is they who the belief in the building up of German socialism. cling to the false words about the "nine-tenths of One cannot think that the hundreds and thousands socialism" as this formula sanctions their privileged of rank and file social democrats-let alone the position, their right to command, their right to millions of rank and file workers-wanted to deorder, their need to be free from criticisms from fend Hohenzollern and the bourgeoisie. No. They wanted to defend German industry, the German Complaints and accusations to the effect that railways and highways, German technique and the denial of the possibility of building up social- culture, and especially the organizations of the ism in one country dampens the spirit and kills en- German working class, as the "necessary and suf-

> > A similar process took place also in France. ists have always hurled along the same line against Guesde, Vaillant and thousands of the best rank the revolutionaries, notwithstanding the different and file party members with them, and hundreds conditions under which they come. "You are tell- of thousands of rank and file workers in general, ing the workers that they cannot improve sub believed that precisely France with her revolutionstantially their conditions within the framework ary traditions, her heroic proletariat, her high culof capitalist society and by this alone you kill their ture, her flexible and talented people, was the incentive to fight." This is what the reformists promised land of socialism. Old Guesde and the used to say. In reality, under the leadership of Communard Vaillant, and with them the thourevolutionaries, the workers really fought for econ- sands and hundreds of thousands of workers did not fight for the bankers or the rentiers. They The worker who clearly understands that the sincerely believed that they defended the basis and

> > > The comparison with the social patriots will of course be answered by the argument that patriotism in relation to the Soviet State is a revolutionary duty whereas patriotism in relation to a bourgeois lutionaries? But this incontrovertible idea becomes as we progress more and more a scholastic cover

> > > > TO BE CONTINUED

CLEVELAND

Cleveland, Ohio, Nov. 25, 1928.

many means first of all a destruction of the basis of I received a copy of your Militant and I told the busocial revolution. . . In general it must not be for reaucracy of District Six I cannot condemn policies I

I am opposed to expulsion of Bolsheviks, as it takes tional state, whether it is by the plane of its industry ten to fifteen years to make revolutionists, providing or by its 'democratic' form and revolutionary con- you have the material. I received a letter today threatenquests, is called upon to lead humanity towards so ing expulsion. This is their third attempt. I suppose cialism or towards 'democracy.' If the victorious re- they will be successful this time. I will fight for a genvolution would really be conceivable within the uine Workers Communist Party against the disruption-

JOHN FOLEY.