

Regeneracion.

314th St., Los Angeles, Cal.
Telephone: Home A 1360.
Subscription rates:
Per annum \$3.00
Per six months \$1.50
Per three months \$0.60

HUNDLE ORDERS.
100 copies \$3.00
500 copies \$12.50
1000 copies \$20.00

Editor and Proprietor, Anselmo L. Figueroa.
Entered as second-class matter September 12, 1910, at the post office at Los Angeles, California, under the Act of March 3, 1879.
Saturday, December 10, 1910.
No. 15.

The Long Arm of Diaz

FORCING THE ISSUE.

Again we see the long arm of Diaz reach across the border while the claws of bloody Nick are not yet withdrawn from Wezozabi and Fedorenko, and it behooves all friends of liberty to rally to a vigorous protest against the "provisional arrest" of Juan Sanchez Azcona, once a member of the Mexican congress, a journalist whose knowledge and pen is feared by the southern autocrat, and a member of a prominent family, held upon request of the Mexican government in Washington, D. C. on a warrant on the charge of "obtaining money under false pretenses" to be forthcoming from the Mexican government in Mexico City.

It may be safely assumed that the charge is based upon some trickery in connection with two facts. Through the columns of his since-suppressed paper, "Mexico Nuevo," and its equally suppressed successor (the former suppressed for the third time within one year!), Azcona had dared to ask for funds to support the widow of a victim of the wrath of the autocrat, the widow of an army officer who had been deported to die in pestiferous Yucatan for advocating the candidacy of Bernardo Reyes; and upon United States territory he dared to publish and to solicit purchasers for an eye-opening book, under the significant Latin caption, "Sunu Cuigue," i. e., to each one what is coming to him, mercilessly exposing the political rottenness of the powers that be south of the Rio Grande. How easy would it be to have stool pigeons to order books or to contribute funds officiously to sidetrack or confiscate either, and then to have the skunks file charges. It would not be the first time in history.

The "long arm of Diaz" seems to be forcing the issue of autocracy versus the people in the "provisional arrest" of Juan Sanchez Azcona in Washington, D. C., and Representative Wilson of Pennsylvania takes up the gauntlet as champion for the people. He is conferring with the state department concerning this arrest and he will press the house rules committee for quick action on his resolution to investigate the charge that the Mexican government is using the judicial and state departments of this government in persecuting political refugees from Mexico.

"No political significance attaches to the arrest," says the Mexican ambassador. Of course not. In the Magon case it was a couple of postage stamps and what not some 300 miles south of the Rio Grande, appropriated apparently by defendant by wireless telegraph or telephonic stunts from way-off Canada. And in the De Lara case it was merely a cord of wood of fabulous price due to official black magic in the southland. No politics, of course not, but it is up to the laboring people to wake up and to put a stop to degrading officious manipulations which make the "land of the brave and free" the fishing pond of foreign high-standing butchers and their far lower domestic handy men.

A Political Document

To counteract the vicious attacks of a servile press upon the motives of the leader of the revolt of the Mexican middle class against a treacherous government in league with the foreign corporation octopus, we publish herewith the manifesto recently issued by Francisco I. Madero. It gives us a fair insight into the psychology and the motives of the brave men and women placing their lives upon the altar of the fatherland and therefore denounced by the servile press of the country of an Abraham Lincoln, and of a Patrick Henry, as drunken, illiterate peons and common robbers. It makes it also clear why the Mexican Liberals join hands with the middle class revolutionists of these days, the rising middle class needing the guarantees of free speech, free press and free assembly for the fulfillment of the mission of their class, and the toilers needing the same factors to educate and uplift the only wealth producers by peaceful organization work under the guarantees of the laws, as long as undisturbed by the master class which must needs make and somewhat keep concessions if they want the proletariat to fight their battles.

The document is as follows

Proclamation.

Fellow Citizens—There are solemn moments in the lives of nations which sound the highest key of disgrace, and which announce a tremendous awakening. One of such moments is revolution, the incarnation of progress and liberty, by whose powerful breath history is made—revolution is armed protest against official fraud!

This historic movement, fellow-citizens, has presented itself again before you, to vindicate the dignity of our country, which, today, is torn in tatters and laden with chains; with its speechlessness that reveals in its aspect a whole eternity of lethargy, misery and torture.

Fellow citizens, supported by the ideals of a heroic nation, General Porfirio Diaz ascended to power; and ungrateful, he soon attempted to spurn those who represented the most advanced principles of right and liberty. From 1890 to the present time his violent, autocratic and despotic character has developed in such proportions that today he does not halt, not even before the most ruthless men, the rights of the citizens whose consciences have been provoked by his extreme iniquities; in short, not even before the property of the nation, which he has appropriated and disposed of as his own.

Diaz, fellow citizens, submitting everything to the caprices of his own will and pleasure, and to his insatiable avarice, breaking the constitution and laws with ease and calmness, which horrifies; and by means of monopolies and concessions perpetrated with admirable sangfroid, he has violated the constitutional rights of the citizens to the extent of impeding their personal freedom and withholding from them the opportunity of working as they choose for their betterment. Fraud and graft and slavery have been elevated by Diaz to the standard of a governmental principle and have been rigorously adhered to as the principal plank in his administrative platform.

"Monopolies for tobacco, liquor and explosives of every character; the sole rights of navigation of lakes and rivers; exclusive privileges for butchering; mining concessions; exclusive privileges for fishing; monopolies for the extraction of rubber; monopolies for pearl fishing; salt monopolies; outrageous embezzlement of public funds; fictitious internal loans; foreign loans made in the nation's name, but in reality diverted to his own pockets; free introduction of imports for his accomplices; and a thousand other infamous acts which have weakened the resources of Mexico and paralyzed in a manner sad and contemptible chances for betterment and at the same time dimming the future.

This department, the eternal object of Diaz's avarice, has been bled and robbed by him continuously. To raise its voice of protest in unison with other sections of the republic which have also shaken off the shackles of ignominy, and as our own brethren of the interior generously concurred in national concert, we are also ready today to raise the banner of redemption on the national capital of Mexico City.

My first acts, obeying the will of the masses, will be to check the thousand and one schemes through which this country has been robbed; to obstruct the channels through which the labors of the whole nation go finally to the coffers of a few people; to create at the same time facilities which will make Mexico's energy and effort productive for the general betterment of the nation; to return to the disregarded principles of the revolution of July 11, 1890, and to guarantee the performance of the public rights of the nation with the constitution of December 10, 1890, and, finally, to insure the rights of a free press, so that public opinion amply manifested, will be the foundation upon which shall be formed the principles of our country.

The love of our country and its sacred liberties invites me to save myself from this country being robbed and infamously to remain dispassionate and indifferent when the country is the victim of the most iniquitous infringement and its destinies in the hands of an opprobrious despot of our country, which has always been valiant, dignified and illustrious.

Fellow citizens, you are all acquainted with my ideas and purposes. On more than one occasion I have suffered with you the bitter vicissitudes of a strenuous campaign, and it may be on account of this that I merit the honor of being elected the chief of the army of redemption, which, well disciplined and valiant as it is, will cover itself with glory in this crusade of freedom against despotism.

My sole ambition is to be at the service of my country, and I hope to accomplish, with the aid of my patriots and honest fellow citizens, the rehabilitation of liberty on this soil—forever the shrine of Mexico's patriotism. Our brethren of the interior, armed and ready, are waiting with lips set in grim determination! Let us hasten there that we may obtain our liberty!

Let us cheer for the redemption of our country! Long live the republic!

"FRANCISCO I. MADERO."

THE FOREIGNER PREFERRED

Mrs. Dr. J. W. Pollard, just returned to Los Angeles from Mexico City, makes the following significant statement to a reporter of the Los Angeles Express, which is in line with the assertions and facts presented in the leading article of John Kenneth Turner in this issue.

"At the time of the inaugural parade the troops would allow no Mexicans to line the course, but American citizens were given all the privileges. It is my opinion that Americans in Mexico City are as well protected as if they were in their native country."

In connection with this item we want to state that the book, "Barbarous Mexico," has just reached the editorial desk. It is a revelation, and the comrades should place their orders quick before "the interests" buy up the editions as fast as they are published. The book ought to reach as a Christmas present every liberty-loving American citizen, to arouse the slumbering past traditions that and that there is no more Mexican bastles upon American soil.

The American Partners of Diaz

By John Kenneth Turner

(From Advance Sheets of "Barbous Mexico.")

The United States is a partner in the slavery of Mexico. After freeing his black slaves Uncle Sam, at the end of half a century, has become a slaver again. Uncle Sam has gone to slave-driving in a foreign country.

No, I shall not charge this to Uncle Sam, the genial, liberty-loving fellow-citizen of our childhood. I would rather say that Uncle Sam is dead and that another is masquerading in his place—a counterfeit Uncle Sam who has so far deceived the people into believing that he is the real one. It is that person whom I charge with being a slaver.

This is a strong statement, but I believe that the facts justify it. The United States is responsible in part for the extension of the system of slavery in Mexico; second, it is responsible as the determining force in the continuation of that slavery; third, it is responsible knowingly for these things.

When I say the United States I do not mean a few minor and irresponsible American officials. Nor do I mean the American nation—which, in my humble judgment, is unjustly charged with the crimes of some persons over whom, under conditions as they exist, it has no control. I use the term in its most literal and exact sense. I mean the organized power which officially represents this country at home and abroad. I mean the Federal Government and the interests that control the Federal Government.

Adherents of a certain political cult in this country are wont to declare that chattel slavery was abolished in the United States because it ceased to be profitable. Without commenting on the truth or fallacy of his assertion, I aver that there are plenty of Americans who are prepared to prove that slavery is profitable in Mexico. Because it is considered profitable, these Americans have, in various ways, had a hand in the extension of the institution. Desiring to perpetuate Mexican slavery and considering General Diaz a necessary factor in that perpetuation, they have given him their undivided support. By their control of the press they have glorified his name, when otherwise his name should be by right a stench in the nostrils of the world. But they have gone much farther than this. By their control of the political machinery of their government, the United States government, they have held him in his place when otherwise he would have fallen. Most sensitive to the fact that power in this country been used to destroy a movement of Mexicans for the abolition of Mexican slavery and to keep the chief slave-driver of Barbarous Mexico, Porfirio Diaz, upon his throne.

Still another step can we go in these generalizations. By making itself an indispensable factor in his continuation in the governmental power, through its business partnership, its press conspiracy and its police and military alliance, the United States has virtually reduced Diaz to a political dependency, and by so doing has virtually transformed Mexico into a slave colony of the United States.

As I have already suggested, these are generalizations, but I did not do this because the facts set forth in this and the succeeding chapter fully justify each and every one of them. I would not make them.

Pardon me for again referring to the remarkable defense of Mexican slavery and Mexican despotism which we find in the United States, inasmuch as it is itself a strong presumption of guilty partnership in that slavery and despotism. What publication or individual in the United States was ever known to defend the system of political oppression of Russia? What publication or individual in the United States was ever known to excuse the slave atrocities of the Congo Free State? How many Americans are in the habit of singling out for praise to Czar Nicholas or the late King Leopold?

Americans of whatever class not only do not dare to do these things, but they do not care to do them. But what a difference when it comes to Mexico! Here slavery is sacred. Here autocracy is defied.

It will not do to deny the honesty of the comparison between Mexico and Russia or the Congo. For every worshipper of Diaz knows that he is an autocrat and a slave-driver and enough of them admit it to leave no ground for doubt that they know it.

What then, is the reason for this strange diversion of attitude? Why do so many prostrate themselves before the Czar of Mexico and none prostrate themselves before the Czar of Russia? Why is America flooded with books hailing the Mexican autocrat as the greatest man of the age while it is impossible to buy a single book, regularly published and circulated, that seriously criticizes him?

The inference is inevitable that it is because Diaz is the Golden Calf in but another form, that Americans are profiting by Mexican slavery and are exerting themselves to maintain it.

But there are easily provable facts that carry us far beyond any mere inference, however logical it may be. What is the most universal reply that has been made to my criticisms of Mexico and Mexico's ruler? That there are \$900,000,000 of American capital invested in Mexico.

To the Powers that Be in the United States the nine hundred million dollars of American capital form a conclusive argument against any criticism of President Diaz. They are an overwhelming defense of Mexican slavery.

"Hush! Hush!" the word goes about. "Why, we have nine hundred million dollars grinding out profits down there!" And the American publishers obediently hush.

In that \$900,000,000 of American capital in Mexico is to be found the full explanation not only of the American defense of the Mexican government, but also of the political dependency of Diaz upon the Powers that Be in this country. Wherever capital flows capital controls the government. The doctrine is recognized everywhere and by all men who have as much as half an eye for the lessons that the world is writing. The last decade or two has proved it in every country where large aggregations of capital have gathered.

No wonder there is a growing anti-American sentiment in Mexico. The Mexican people are naturally patriotic. They have gone through tremendous trials to throw off the foreign yoke in past generations and they are unwilling to bend beneath the foreign yoke today. They want the opportunity of working out their own national destiny as a separate people. They look upon the United States as a great Colossus which is about to seize them and bend them to its will. And they are right. American capital in Mexico will not be denied. The partnership of Diaz and American capital has wrecked Mexico as a national entity. The United States government, as long as it represents American capital—and the most rampant hypocrite will hardly deny that it does today—will have a deciding voice in Mexican affairs. From the viewpoint of patriotic Mexicans the outlook is melancholy indeed.

Let us cast our eyes over Mexico and see what some of that \$900,000,000 of American capital is doing there.

The Morgan-Guggenheim copper merger is in absolute control of the copper output of Mexico.

M. Guggenheim Sons own all the large smelters in Mexico, as well as vast mining properties. They occupy the same powerful position in the mining industry generally in Mexico as they occupy in the United States. The Standard Oil Company, under the name of the Waters-Pierce, with many subsidiary corporations, controls a vastly major portion of the crude oil flow of Mexico. It controls a still greater portion of the wholesale and retail trade in oil—ninety per cent of it, so its managers claim.

At the present writing there is an attempt in Mexico caused by an attempt of the only other oil distributor, the Pearyson—to force the Standard to buy it out at a favorable price. The situation predicts an early victory for the Standard, after which its monopoly will be complete.

Agents of the American Sugar Trust have just secured from the Federal and State governments concessions for the production of sugar beets and beet sugar so favorable as to insure it a complete monopoly of the Mexican sugar business within the next ten years.

The Continental Rubber Company, of which John D. Rockefeller, Jr., is credited with holding the controlling interest, and which controls five per cent of the world's production of raw rubber, is in possession of millions of acres of rubber lands, the best in Mexico.

The Wells-Fargo Express Company the property of the Southern Pacific Railroad, through its partnership with the government, holds an absolute monopoly of the express carrying business of Mexico.

E. N. Brown, president of the National Railways of Mexico and a satellite of H. Clay Pierce and the late E. H. Harriman, is a member of the board of directors of the Banco Nacional, which by far the largest financial institution in Mexico, a concern that has over fifty branches, in which all the chief members of the Diaz financial camarilla are interested and through which all financial deals of the Mexican government are transacted.

Finally, the Southern Pacific Railroad and allied Harriman heirs, despite the much vaunted government railways merger, own outright or control by virtue of near-ownership, three-fourths of the main line railway mileage of Mexico, which enables it today to impose an absolute monopoly in restraint of trade as exists in the case of any railway combination in the United States.

These are merely some of the largest aggregations of American capital in Mexico. For example, the Harriman heirs own two and one-half millions acres of oil land in the Tampico country, and a number of other Americans own properties running into the millions of acres. Americans are involved in the combinations which control the flour and meat trades of Mexico. The purely trade interests are themselves considerable. Eighty per cent of Mexican exports come to the United States and sixty-six per cent of Mexican imports are sent to her by us, the American trade with Mexico totaling some \$75,000,000 a year.

So you see how it is in Mexico. The Americanization of Mexico of which Walt Stutz boasts, is being accomplished and accomplished with a vengeance.

was that Americans had more money to pay for special privileges. And Americans had more money because, while ill Mexicans were becoming impoverished by the war of the overthrow of the foreigner, Maximilian, thousands of Americans were making fortunes by means of grafting arm contracts involved in our Civil War. Let me present an instance or two of the way in which Americans are contributing to the extension of slavery:

Take the Yaqui atrocities, for example. Vice-president Corral, who was then in control of the government of the state of Sonora, stirred up a Yaqui war because he saw an opportunity to get the Yaqui lands and sell them at a good price to American capitalists. The Yaqui country is rich in mining and agricultural possibilities. American capitalists bought the lands while the Yaquis were still on them, then stimulated the government and finally instigated the scheme to deport them into slavery in Yucatan.

But American capital did not stop even there. It followed the Yaqui women and children away from their homes. It saw families dismembered, women forced into widowhood with Chiapanen, men beaten to death. It saw these things, encouraged them and covered them up from the eyes of the world because of its interests in the price of sisal hemp, because it feared that with the passing of slave labor the price of sisal hemp would rise. The American Cordage Trust, for instance, of Standard Oil, absorbs over half the Mexican sugar export of Yucatan. The Standard will press the Governor Fred N. Warner of Michigan, publicly denied my expose of slavery in Yucatan. Governor Warner is interested in contracts involving the purchase annually of half a million dollars worth of sisal hemp from the slave kings of Yucatan.

Also, Americans work the slaves—they buy them drive them, lock them up at night, beat them, kill them, exactly as do other employers of labor in Mexico. And they admit that they do these things. In my possession are scores of admissions by American planters that they employ labor which is essentially slave labor. All over the tropical section of Mexico, on the plantations of rubber, sugar-cane, tropical fruits—everywhere—you will find Americans buying, beating, imprisoning, killing slaves.

Let me quote you just one interview I had with a well known and popular American of Diaz's metropolis, a man who for five years ran a large plantation near Santa Lucrecia. "When we needed a lot of enganchados," he told me, "all we had to do was to wire to one of the numerous enganchadores in Mexico, saying: 'We want so many men and so many women on such and such a day.' Sometimes we'd call for three or four hundred, but the enganchadores would never fail to deliver the full number on the dot. We paid fifty pesos apiece for the men, and those that didn't look good to us, another fifty. And there was to it. We always kept them as long as they lasted.

"It's healthier down there than it is right here in the city of Mexico," he told me. "If you have the means to take care of yourself you can keep as well there as you can anywhere on earth."

Less than five minutes after making this statement he told me: "Yes, I remember a lot of three hundred enganchados we received one Spring. In less than three months we buried more than half of them."

The hand of the American slave-driver of Mexico has been known to reach out for its victims even as far as the eye of the United States. During my travels in Mexico I ordered to become better acquainted with the common people. I spent most of my traveling days in second or third class cars. Riding in a third class car between Tierra Blanca and Veracruz one night, I spied an American negro sitting in a corner.

"I wonder if they ever caught him down here?" I said to myself. "I'll find out."

Tom West, a free-born Kentucky negro of twenty-five, hesitated to admit that he had ever been a slave. But he confessed gradually.

"Ah was workin' in a brick yahn in Kentucky at two dollahs a day," said the way Tom put it, "when an othah white man came along an' tole me he knowed where Ah cud get three seventy-five a day. Ah said 'Ah'm with ye.' So he hands me one o' them book prospectuses an' the next day he tuk me to the office o' the company an' they said the same thing—three seventy-five American money, or seven an' a half Mex! So Ah come with eighty othah cullahd folks by way o' Tampa, Florida, and Veracruz, down here to a coffee and rubber plantation at La Junta, near Santa Lucrecia, Oaxaca.

"Seven and a half a day? Huh! Seven and a half! That's just what they paid me when they let me go—atta two yehs! Ah run away twict, but they ketcht me and brung me back. Did they beat me? Naw, they beat lots o' othahs, but they nevah beat me. Ah yeh, they batted me a few times with a stick, but Ah wouldn't let 'em beat me; so sub, nof me."

The partnership of American capital with President Diaz not only puts at its disposal a system of slave labor, but also permits it to utilize the system of peonage and to beat the class of wage-laborers down to the lowest point of subsistence. Where slavery does not exist in Mexico you find the peonage, a mild form of slavery or you find cheap wage-labor. Diaz's rurales shot Colonel Green's copper miners into submission and threats of imprisonment put an end to the great strike on an American-Mexican railroad. American capitalists boast of the fact that their Diaz "does not permit any foolishness on the part of these labor unions." In such facts as these are found the reason for their hysterical defense of him.

Take the main line of Mexican railroads, for instance. The Southern Pacific Company controls and will probably soon own 8,941 miles, or nearly three-fourths of the total. These lines consist of: The Southern Pacific in Mexico, 950 miles; the Kansas City, Mexico and Orient, 279 miles; the Pan-American, 236 miles; the Mexican, 327 miles; the National Railways of Mexico, 7,089 miles.

Of these the Southern Pacific is the only one that is being operated openly as the property of the Harriman heirs. The Orient road is operated under the presidency of A. E. Stillwell, a Harriman ally, while the National Railways of Mexico, a director of the Chicago & Alton road, a Harriman property with which the Orient road has traffic agreements. Construction is still going on on both of these roads and they are drawing from the Diaz government about \$20,000 of subsidy for every mile built, or nearly enough to build the road.

The Pan-American railroad was recently acquired by David H. Thompson, who is the nominal president. Thompson was the United States ambassador to Mexico, where he seems to have represented the Harriman interests first and the other American interests afterward. After securing the road, he resigned the ambassadorship. It is a pretty generally accepted fact that Thompson was acting for Harriman in securing the road. Harriman men are associated with him as directors of the road. The especial purpose of Thompson's securing the road was to incorporate it as a part of Harriman's plan to make an all-rail route from the Arizona border to Central America.

The only control exercised by the Harriman interests over the "Mexican Railway," as far the writer knows, is that involved in the pooling of interests, in both freight and passenger traffic of the Mexican road and the National Railways of Mexico.

Briefly, the story is this: The consolidation under nominal government control of the two principal railroads in Mexico, the Mexican Central and the Mexican National, was brought about, not as is officially given out, to provide against the absorption of the Mexican highways by foreign capitalists, but to provide for that very thing. It was a deal between F. H. Harriman, on the one hand, and the government financial camarilla, on the other, the victim in the case being Mexico. It was a sort of deferred sale of the Mexican railroads to Harriman, the members of the camarilla getting as their share of the loot millions and millions of dollars through the juggling of securities and stock in effecting the merger. In the whole, it constitutes means for the disposal of single pieces of plunder carried out by the organized wreckers of the Mexican nation.

The Mexican Central and Mexican National systems are both cheaply built roads; their rolling stock is of very low grade. Their entire joint mileage at the time of the merger was 5,400 miles, and yet under the merger they were capitalized at \$615,000,000 gold, or \$112,000 per mile. Oceans of water there. The Mexican Central was 30 years old, yet had never paid a penny. The Mexican National was over 25 years old, yet it had paid less than two per cent of the over-capitalized merger. The merger company binds itself to pay four and one-half per cent on \$225,000,000 worth of bonds and four per cent on \$160,000,000 worth of bonds, or \$16,525,000 interest a year, and pay it semi-annually!

Out of the merger deal Harriman is supposed to have received, in addition to merger stocks and bonds, a cash consideration and special secret concessions and subsidies for his West Coast road. Harriman dictated the contract as to the payment of interest on those merger bonds and his successors will compel payment or foreclosure. As long as Diaz remains in power, as long as the Mexican government is "good," the merger company will have a partnership with the American capital; the matter can be arranged—if in no other way, by paying the deficiency out of the Mexican treasury. But the moment there is trouble it is expected that the government will be unable to pay, and the railroad will become American in name as well as in fact.

Trouble! That word is an exceedingly significant one here. A Mexican revolution will probably mean trouble of this particular sort, for every revolution of the past in Mexico has seen the necessity of the government's repudiating all or a part of the national obligations for a time. This is the first step in the complete Americanization of Mexico's railways will be one of the clubs held over the Mexican people to prevent them from overturning a government that is particularly favorable to American capital.

cannot forget this, for it is daily pressed before them by the Diaz press itself. Thus the threat of an American army in Mexico is another of the American influences which keep Mexico from revolution against the autocracy of Diaz.

American capital is not at present in favor of political annexation of Mexico. This is because the slavery by which it profits can be maintained with greater safety under the Mexican flag than under the American flag. As long as Mexico can be controlled—in other words, as long as she can be held as a slave colony—she will not be annexed, for once she is annexed the protest of the American people will become so great that the slavery must of necessity be abolished or veiled under less brutal and downright means. The annexation of Mexico will come only when she cannot be controlled by other means. Nevertheless, the threat of annexation is today held as a club over the Mexican people to prevent them from forcibly removing Diaz.

Do I guess when I prophesy that the United States will intervene in case of a revolution against Diaz. Hardly, for the United States has already intervened in that very cause. The United States has not waited for the revolution to assume a serious aspect, but has lent its powers most strenuously to stamping out its first evidence. President Taft and Attorney General Wickkersham, at the behest of American capital, have already placed the United States government in the service of Diaz to aid in stamping out an incipient revolution with which, for justifiable grounds, our revolution of 1776 cannot for an instant be thought of by comparison. Attorney General Wickkersham is credited with being a heavy stockholder in the National Railways of Mexico; Henry W. Taft, brother of the president, is general counsel for the same corporation. Thus it will be seen that these officials have a personal as well as a political interest in maintaining the system of Diaz.

Three times during the past two years the United States government has rushed an army to the Mexican border in order to crush a movement of Liberals which had risen against the autocrat of Mexico. Constantly during the past three years the American government, through its Secret Service, its Department of Justice, its immigration officials, its border rangers, has maintained in the border states a reign of terror for Mexicans, in which it has lent itself unreservedly to the extermination of political refugees of Mexico who have sought safety from the long arm of Diaz upon the soil of the "land of the free and the home of the brave."

THE SLAUGHTER OF THE INNOCENT.

The following small item seems to indicate that our interpretation of the intents of the powers that be in Mexico, laid down in our article two weeks ago, is about correct. And this becomes especially clear if we read in the same connection the chapter of Turner's book, "Barbarous Mexico," pointing to the cold facts at the bottom of it all.

A correspondent of the "Vorwaerts" reports:

"In execution of the orders not to make any prisoners and to shoot on the spot all the rebels the Mexican troops have everywhere not only executed revolutionists but slaughtered also peaceful citizens. In Puebla, in Parral, in San Antonio and in other cities many houses were found with ten to fifteen dead. These persons fell from bullets passing through the walls. Frequently the soldiers satisfied personal revenge, doing so with impunity in the wholesale massacres."

Private information from Gomez Palacios, state of Durango, a city taken by the revolutionists and then retaken by the soldiers of the autocrat, states that upon orders of the governor great numbers of citizens were arrested and shot down wholesale without any trial.

In our next issue we shall again devote almost all space available to the incidents of the recent happenings in Mexico as far as accounts are leaking through and being substantiated by subsequent private correspondence. Indisposition of the translator on account of overwork makes such a review utterly impossible for this week. All we say this week is that to all appearance the backbone of the rebellion of the people seems to be still unbroken in spite of the orgies of blood and dungeons indulged in by the White Terror of the Czar of Mexico.

"Barbarous Mexico"

John Kenneth Turner began last year in the American Magazine a true story of the horrors of slavery in Mexico today, where men, women and children are bought and sold, where they are worked to death or beaten to death.

These articles told only half the story. It remained to show that this slavery is only made possible by the military despotism of Diaz, and that this despotism is kept in power by the aid of American capitalists and the United States government.

The whole story, with many vivid photographs, is now offered to the American people.

The book may be obtained from the author, 1931 Darien Place, Los Angeles, California.

SINGLE COPIES, \$1.50, postage paid; three copies for \$3.00.

This is the publisher's price for the book.

Stockholders of Charles H. Kerr & Co. will be furnished with copies at the regular publisher's discount.